

Table A. Characteristics of Respondents that completed the survey

<i>Characteristic Category</i>	Weighted		Un-weighted	
	Number	%	Number	%
<i>Age</i>				
18 years old	3,360	5.5	687	6.2
19 years old	9,265	15.1	1,770	15.9
20 years old	10,410	16.9	1,785	16.0
21 years old	9,547	15.5	1,788	16.0
22 years old	8,134	13.2	1,342	12.0
23 years old	3,848	6.3	715	6.4
24 years old	3,092	5.0	519	4.7
25 years old	13,835	22.5	2,548	22.8
<i>Student Affiliation</i>				
Undergraduate	48,639	79.1	8,444	75.7
Graduate or Professional	12,852	20.9	2,710	24.3
<i>Year in school/program</i>				
Freshman	6,579	10.7	1,412	12.7
Sophomore	10,823	17.6	1,843	16.5
Junior	11,580	18.8	2,301	20.6
Senior	19,657	32.0	2,888	25.9
Graduate or Prof 1st year	3,966	6.4	906	8.1
Graduate or Prof 2nd year	3,289	5.3	728	6.5
Graduate or Prof 3rd year	2,138	3.5	440	3.9
Graduate or Prof 4th year	3,459	5.6	636	5.7
<i>Year first enrolled in the college or university</i>				
2010 or earlier	8,753	14.2	1,598	14.3
2011	10,403	16.9	1,691	15.2
2012	11,554	18.8	2,134	19.1
2013	14,283	23.2	2,511	22.5
2014 or 2015	16,497	26.8	3,220	28.9
<i>Hispanic or Latino?</i>				
Yes	2,472	4.0	441	4.0
No	59,019	96.0	10,713	96.0

Table A. Characteristics of Respondents that completed the survey (continued)

<i>Characteristic Category</i>	Weighted		Un-weighted	
	Number	%	Number	%
<i>Race</i>				
White only	45,784	74.5	8,952	80.3
Black only	3,631	5.9	496	4.4
Asian only	8,928	14.5	1,232	11.0
Other/Multi race	3,148	5.1	474	4.2
<i>Gender Identity</i>				
Female	30,231	49.2	6,837	61.3
Male	30,827	50.1	4,235	38.0
Other	433	0.7	82	0.7
<i>Sexual Orientation</i>				
Heterosexual	55,163	90.9	10,029	91.0
Non-Heterosexual	5,514	9.1	986	9.0
<i>Since enrolled in college or university, have you been in a partnered relationship?</i>				
Yes	47,009	76.4	8,716	78.1
No	14,454	23.5	2,433	21.8
Did not answer the question	28	0.0	5	0.0
<i>Have a disability registered with the university?</i>				
Yes	2,332	3.8	417	3.7
No	59,111	96.1	10,729	96.2
Did not answer the question	49	0.1	8	0.1

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to an Official by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)				Male (n=4,235)			
			Undergraduate (n=5,158)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,679)		Undergraduate (n=3,221)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>If someone were to report sexual assault or sexual misconduct to an official, how likely is it that...</i>										
<i>Students would support the person making the report.</i>										
Not at all	2.4	0.1	2.6	0.2	1.7	0.3	2.4	0.3	2.3	0.5
A little	10.5	0.3	12.9	0.5	10.9	0.7	8.7	0.5	7.1	0.8
Somewhat	35.0	0.5	36.8	0.7	40.2	1.2	31.1	0.8	37.0	1.8
Very	41.4	0.5	39.0	0.6	39.5	1.2	44.4	0.9	42.9	1.8
Extremely	10.6	0.3	8.6	0.4	7.8	0.6	13.5	0.6	10.7	0.8
<i>The alleged offender(s) or their associates would retaliate against the person making the report.</i>										
Not at all	7.5	0.3	6.1	0.4	6.2	0.5	9.2	0.6	7.9	0.7
A little	27.1	0.4	23.2	0.6	24.9	1.1	30.8	0.9	30.2	1.4
Somewhat	41.9	0.4	42.3	0.6	45.9	1.1	40.3	0.9	43.3	1.4
Very	18.8	0.4	22.4	0.6	19.4	0.9	15.8	0.6	15.6	1.1
Extremely	4.6	0.2	6.0	0.4	3.6	0.4	3.9	0.3	3.1	0.6
<i>Campus officials would take the report seriously.</i>										
Not at all	3.3	0.2	3.3	0.2	2.3	0.3	3.6	0.3	3.2	0.6
A little	8.4	0.3	10.6	0.4	10.4	0.7	6.2	0.4	6.6	0.8
Somewhat	24.6	0.4	29.0	0.5	28.6	1.0	19.2	0.7	23.4	1.5
Very	39.1	0.5	37.6	0.7	43.4	1.1	39.2	0.9	41.7	1.7
Extremely	24.5	0.4	19.4	0.5	15.4	0.8	31.9	0.8	25.1	1.5

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)				Male (n=4,235)			
			Undergraduate (n=5,158)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,679)		Undergraduate (n=3,221)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Campus officials would protect the safety of the person making the report.</i>										
Not at all	3.8	0.2	4.4	0.3	3.3	0.4	3.2	0.3	3.2	0.5
A little	10.7	0.3	12.7	0.4	13.3	0.7	8.2	0.5	8.9	0.9
Somewhat	30.3	0.5	32.8	0.7	34.4	1.1	26.1	0.8	32.0	1.6
Very	35.4	0.5	33.7	0.7	35.8	1.1	36.7	0.8	37.1	1.5
Extremely	19.9	0.5	16.2	0.5	13.2	0.7	25.6	0.8	18.8	1.2
<i>Campus officials would conduct a fair investigation.</i>										
Not at all	5.0	0.2	4.1	0.3	3.1	0.4	6.4	0.5	4.7	0.6
A little	11.0	0.2	12.7	0.4	11.2	0.5	9.8	0.5	9.2	0.8
Somewhat	33.9	0.4	36.0	0.7	36.0	1.1	30.7	0.7	34.6	1.5
Very	35.8	0.5	34.5	0.7	39.7	1.1	35.7	0.8	38.2	1.4
Extremely	14.3	0.4	12.7	0.4	10.0	0.7	17.4	0.8	13.3	0.9
<i>Campus officials would take action against the offender(s).</i>										
Not at all	4.0	0.2	5.0	0.3	4.4	0.4	3.1	0.3	3.3	0.5
A little	13.6	0.3	17.2	0.4	17.1	0.7	9.4	0.5	11.4	1.0
Somewhat	34.0	0.5	37.7	0.7	39.7	1.3	28.8	0.9	34.4	1.4
Very	32.7	0.5	28.6	0.7	31.1	1.0	36.7	0.9	35.3	1.4
Extremely	15.7	0.4	11.5	0.4	7.6	0.7	21.9	0.8	15.6	1.3
<i>Campus officials would take action to address factors that may have led to the sexual assault or sexual misconduct.</i>										
Not at all	7.8	0.3	8.3	0.3	7.2	0.6	7.5	0.5	7.2	0.7
A little	17.6	0.3	19.5	0.5	19.5	1.0	14.6	0.6	18.5	1.0
Somewhat	36.4	0.4	37.2	0.7	39.4	1.1	35.2	0.9	36.1	1.5
Very	27.0	0.4	25.2	0.6	27.0	1.1	28.7	0.8	28.3	1.3
Extremely	11.2	0.3	9.9	0.4	7.0	0.7	14.0	0.7	10.0	1.0

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=82)			
	Undergraduate (n=65)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>If someone were to report sexual assault or sexual misconduct to an official, how likely is it that...</i>				
<i>Students would support the person making the report.</i>				
Not at all	s	s	21.4	12.1
A little	26.0	5.9	17.9	10.0
Somewhat	51.3	6.6	52.9	14.3
Very	17.0	5.8	s	s
Extremely	s	s	-	-
<i>The alleged offender(s) or their associates would retaliate against the person making the report.</i>				
Not at all	-	-	s	s
A little	15.4	4.9	s	s
Somewhat	34.5	6.0	44.3	11.2
Very	36.4	5.3	24.5	10.6
Extremely	13.7	4.1	s	s
<i>Campus officials would take the report seriously.</i>				
Not at all	s	s	s	s
A little	19.5	4.8	11.6	6.0
Somewhat	42.2	5.6	51.1	11.6
Very	20.5	4.6	14.5	7.4
Extremely	13.7	4.5	s	s

Table 1.1. Perceptions of Responses to Reporting Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct to an Official by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=82)			
	Undergraduate (n=65)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Campus official would protect the safety of the person making the report.</i>				
Not at all	8.8	3.5	s	s
A little	24.0	5.0	25.7	8.9
Somewhat	35.3	6.3	33.0	10.3
Very	25.6	5.7	22.8	10.7
Extremely	6.2	2.9	-	-
<i>Campus officials would conduct a fair investigation.</i>				
Not at all	7.4	3.2	-	-
A little	21.1	4.8	21.1	9.5
Somewhat	49.8	5.2	67.6	9.8
Very	12.3	3.2	s	s
Extremely	9.4	3.8	-	-
<i>Campus officials would take action against the offender(s).</i>				
Not at all	8.9	3.2	13.5	7.0
A little	31.1	4.9	53.3	14.2
Somewhat	43.8	5.1	28.4	12.1
Very	9.1	3.0	-	-
Extremely	7.2	3.1	s	s
<i>Campus officials would take action to address factors that may have led to the sexual assault or sexual misconduct.</i>				
Not at all	19.1	4.9	18.1	9.2
A little	36.9	6.3	64.7	11.4
Somewhat	34.1	5.5	17.3	9.2
Very	4.8	2.5	-	-
Extremely	5.1	2.7	-	-

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)				Male (n=4,235)			
			Undergraduate (n=5,158)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,679)		Undergraduate (n=3,221)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Have you been in any of the following situations? If so, what did you do?</i>										
<i>Suspected a friend was sexually assaulted</i>										
Yes	17.7	0.3	24.6	0.6	12.6	0.6	14.2	0.5	9.5	0.8
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	13.8	0.8	14.3	0.9	20.9	2.4	10.9	1.6	14.2	3.4
Did nothing for another reason	19.4	0.9	18.5	0.9	14.4	2.5	20.3	1.8	28.6	5.2
Spoke to my friend or someone else to seek help	56.5	1.2	59.5	1.3	57.1	3.4	53.1	2.8	48.3	5.7
Took action in another way	10.3	0.8	7.7	0.8	7.7	1.6	15.7	2.0	9.0	2.4
No	82.3	0.3	75.4	0.6	87.4	0.6	85.8	0.5	90.5	0.8
<i>Witnessed drunk person heading for sexual encounter</i>										
Yes	47.0	0.4	52.0	0.7	33.9	1.0	49.0	0.8	34.2	1.5
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	26.6	0.6	32.5	1.0	25.4	1.9	21.0	1.0	24.4	2.3
Did nothing for another reason	50.7	0.7	41.8	0.9	51.6	2.0	57.3	1.2	63.6	2.5
Directly intervened to stop it	10.6	0.4	12.2	0.7	10.1	1.1	9.8	0.7	5.9	1.3
Spoke to someone else to seek help	5.4	0.3	7.0	0.4	5.8	1.0	4.5	0.4	1.4	0.7
Took action in another way	6.8	0.3	6.5	0.5	7.0	1.0	7.4	0.6	4.7	1.1
No	53.0	0.4	48.0	0.7	66.1	1.0	51.0	0.8	65.8	1.5

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}
(continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)				Male (n=4,235)			
			Undergraduate (n=5,158)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,679)		Undergraduate (n=3,221)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Witnessed someone acting in sexually violent or harassing manner</i>										
Yes	22.3	0.3	28.5	0.6	18.5	0.8	18.9	0.5	14.8	1.0
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	25.5	0.9	29.4	1.3	27.0	2.6	20.7	1.5	20.9	3.7
Did nothing for another reason	30.5	0.9	28.5	1.1	28.3	2.3	33.3	1.8	35.2	3.3
Directly intervened to stop it	19.2	0.8	15.3	1.1	14.1	1.8	25.5	1.8	20.1	2.9
Spoke to someone else to seek help	12.7	0.7	16.4	1.1	14.1	1.7	8.5	1.1	5.6	1.9
Took action in another way	12.1	0.6	10.3	0.8	16.5	2.0	12.0	1.2	18.3	3.1
No	77.7	0.3	71.5	0.6	81.5	0.8	81.1	0.5	85.2	1.0

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}
(continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=82)			
	Undergraduate (n=65)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Have you been in any of the following situations? If so, what did you do?</i>				
<i>Suspected a friend was sexually assaulted</i>				
Yes	43.5	6.0	56.9	14.2
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	26.1	8.4	-	-
Did nothing for another reason	21.0	7.3	s	s
Spoke to my friend or someone else to seek help	46.8	8.6	82.8	10.7
Took action in another way	s	s	-	-
No	56.5	6.0	43.1	14.2
<i>Witnessed drunk person heading for sexual encounter</i>				
Yes	55.5	6.7	36.6	11.7
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	41.8	7.9	-	-
Did nothing for another reason	39.4	8.1	71.0	28.1
Directly intervened to stop it	18.8	5.9	-	-
Spoke to someone else to seek help	-	-	-	-
Took action in another way	-	-	s	s
No	44.5	6.7	63.4	11.7

Table 1.2. Bystander Intervention Upon Witnessing Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}
(continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=82)			
	Undergraduate (n=65)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Witnessed someone acting in sexually violent or harassing manner</i>				
Yes	47.7	6.5	49.0	15.1
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	27.0	6.9	-	-
Did nothing for another reason	22.1	7.7	s	s
Directly intervened to stop it	29.7	9.0	s	s
Spoke to someone else to seek help	13.2	6.3	s	s
Took action in another way	8.0	4.0	35.0	14.1
No	52.3	6.5	51.0	15.1

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 1.3. Perceptions Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)				Male (n=4,235)			
			Undergraduate (n=5,158)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,679)		Undergraduate (n=3,221)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Sexual assault or sexual misconduct a problem at university</i>										
Not at all	13.6	0.3	10.0	0.4	12.5	0.8	16.4	0.7	17.4	1.1
A little	27.0	0.3	20.5	0.7	26.2	1.1	32.7	0.7	31.3	1.4
Somewhat	40.1	0.5	43.4	0.7	43.3	1.3	36.8	0.8	37.3	1.3
Very	15.8	0.4	21.0	0.6	14.6	0.9	11.7	0.5	11.7	0.9
Extremely	3.5	0.2	5.0	0.3	3.3	0.3	2.3	0.3	2.4	0.5
<i>Likelihood of experiencing sexual assault or sexual misconduct on campus</i>										
Not at all	50.4	0.4	26.8	0.7	35.1	1.0	70.8	0.8	77.3	1.3
A little	29.9	0.4	37.4	0.6	43.3	1.1	22.4	0.7	17.6	1.1
Somewhat	14.2	0.3	25.1	0.6	17.2	0.9	5.1	0.3	4.1	0.5
Very	4.1	0.2	7.8	0.4	3.5	0.4	1.2	0.2	0.8	0.2
Extremely	1.5	0.1	2.8	0.2	0.9	0.2	0.6	0.1	s	s
<i>Likelihood of experiencing sexual assault or sexual misconduct off campus at university-sponsored events</i>										
Not at all	44.3	0.3	21.9	0.6	35.7	1.0	62.3	0.7	69.3	1.1
A little	31.0	0.3	36.2	0.6	39.5	1.1	26.2	0.6	22.1	1.1
Somewhat	17.3	0.3	27.9	0.6	19.7	0.8	8.7	0.4	7.1	0.8
Very	5.9	0.2	11.2	0.4	4.4	0.5	2.2	0.2	1.3	0.3
Extremely	1.5	0.1	2.8	0.3	0.7	0.2	0.6	0.1	s	s

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 1.3. Perceptions Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=82)			
	Undergraduate (n=65)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Sexual assault or sexual misconduct a problem at university</i>				
Not at all	7.2	3.3	-	-
A little	13.2	3.9	s	s
Somewhat	37.7	6.7	60.9	11.1
Very	29.3	6.2	26.6	10.5
Extremely	12.6	4.1	s	s
<i>Likelihood of experiencing sexual assault or sexual misconduct on campus</i>				
Not at all	18.4	4.6	34.9	12.4
A little	27.5	5.7	26.5	12.8
Somewhat	30.6	4.8	15.5	8.5
Very	16.4	4.6	20.3	10.3
Extremely	7.1	2.9	s	s
<i>Likelihood of experiencing sexual assault or sexual misconduct off campus at university-sponsored events</i>				
Not at all	16.8	4.6	35.7	12.0
A little	18.2	4.2	34.7	11.3
Somewhat	43.5	6.6	15.8	8.7
Very	12.3	3.9	s	s
Extremely	9.2	3.3	s	s

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Awareness of services</i>										
Aware of services provided by Sexual Violence Support Coordinator (Student Advocacy)	23.8	0.4	27.1	0.7	20.8	0.8	23.3	0.7	16.0	1.1
Aware of services provided by Sexual Violence Education Coordinator	12.7	0.3	13.5	0.5	10.0	0.7	14.1	0.6	7.2	0.8
Aware of services provided by Title IX Coordinator	15.6	0.3	14.8	0.5	12.3	0.7	17.9	0.7	13.2	1.1
Aware of services provided by OSU Campus Police	83.6	0.3	88.1	0.5	79.7	0.8	83.0	0.6	74.4	1.4
Aware of services provided by Columbus Police	78.4	0.4	82.4	0.6	71.6	0.8	80.1	0.7	65.9	1.2
Aware of services provided by Counseling and Consultation Service (CCS)	49.1	0.4	58.2	0.7	50.6	1.1	42.7	0.9	38.2	1.3
Aware of services provided by Wilce Student Health Center	60.2	0.4	66.6	0.7	64.7	1.1	53.5	0.8	57.6	1.6
Aware of services provided by Student Conduct	30.4	0.4	30.7	0.7	17.0	0.6	37.2	0.9	18.4	1.0
Aware of services provided by Student Legal Services	66.1	0.4	71.1	0.6	55.3	1.1	67.8	0.8	53.5	1.4
Aware of services provided by Resident Advisors and Hall Directors	47.2	0.4	58.0	0.7	22.8	0.8	51.6	0.8	19.8	1.3
Aware of services provided by OSU Wexner Medical Center	65.7	0.4	72.3	0.7	60.3	1.1	63.9	0.7	54.4	1.7
Aware of services provided by Office of Human Resources	24.4	0.4	23.2	0.6	25.2	1.0	25.2	0.8	23.7	1.2
Aware of services provided by SARNCO	5.4	0.2	7.1	0.3	7.2	0.5	4.0	0.3	1.9	0.4
Aware of services provided by BRAVO	4.5	0.2	4.3	0.3	7.1	0.6	3.6	0.3	4.0	0.5
Aware of services provided by CHOICES	3.9	0.2	4.4	0.2	7.0	0.4	3.0	0.3	2.0	0.4

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Knowledgeable about how sexual assault and sexual misconduct defined at university</i>										
Not at all	17.9	0.3	16.5	0.5	27.7	1.0	14.9	0.6	22.8	1.4
A little bit	29.0	0.4	29.5	0.6	31.1	1.1	27.3	0.9	31.8	1.4
Somewhat	31.2	0.5	30.8	0.7	28.1	1.1	33.3	0.8	28.8	1.2
Very	16.6	0.4	17.4	0.6	9.9	0.6	18.4	0.7	14.1	1.0
Extremely	5.3	0.2	5.8	0.3	3.1	0.4	6.1	0.4	2.4	0.4
<i>Knowledgeable about where to get help at university if student or friend experience sexual assault or sexual misconduct</i>										
Not at all	16.0	0.4	14.8	0.5	17.3	0.8	15.9	0.8	19.7	1.1
A little bit	28.4	0.4	27.6	0.6	32.5	0.8	26.8	0.9	32.7	1.4
Somewhat	31.6	0.4	32.1	0.6	30.9	1.2	31.4	0.9	32.0	1.3
Very	17.8	0.4	18.9	0.4	14.2	0.9	18.9	0.7	12.9	1.0
Extremely	6.2	0.2	6.6	0.3	5.2	0.4	7.0	0.5	2.7	0.5
<i>Knowledgeable about where to make a report if student or friend experience sexual assault or sexual misconduct at university</i>										
Not at all	25.2	0.4	27.9	0.6	29.1	0.9	21.5	0.8	25.5	1.1
A little bit	27.0	0.4	27.6	0.7	26.8	1.0	26.4	0.7	27.7	1.3
Somewhat	27.3	0.5	26.5	0.7	28.1	1.0	27.1	0.7	29.8	1.3
Very	14.4	0.3	12.9	0.4	11.4	0.7	17.1	0.6	13.0	1.1
Extremely	6.1	0.2	5.0	0.2	4.6	0.4	8.0	0.5	4.1	0.6

Table 2.1. Knowledge and Perceptions About Resources Related to Sexual Assault or Sexual Misconduct by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Knowledgeable about what happens when a student reports sexual assault or sexual misconduct at university</i>										
Not at all	44.3	0.5	46.9	0.7	51.8	1.2	39.1	0.9	46.6	1.6
A little bit	27.0	0.4	25.9	0.5	25.2	1.0	28.6	0.8	27.2	1.4
Somewhat	19.6	0.4	18.6	0.5	16.9	0.7	21.6	0.6	18.4	1.3
Very	6.3	0.2	6.1	0.4	4.4	0.5	7.1	0.5	6.0	0.7
Extremely	2.8	0.1	2.4	0.2	1.7	0.3	3.6	0.3	1.9	0.4
<i>Initial university orientation included information about sexual assault or sexual misconduct</i>										
Yes	30.2	0.7	32.8	1.4	16.4	1.9	33.1	1.4	24.5	2.5
Not at all	5.0	0.9	4.3	1.0	8.7	3.4	5.3	1.5	4.1	2.1
A little	26.9	1.4	28.3	2.0	21.5	4.8	26.3	2.4	24.1	4.6
Somewhat	46.0	1.5	44.2	2.3	31.5	6.3	48.7	2.5	51.2	6.1
Very	18.8	1.2	19.8	2.0	33.6	6.1	16.6	2.2	16.8	4.3
Extremely	3.3	0.7	3.4	0.8	s	s	3.1	1.1	s	s
No	18.1	0.7	16.3	1.1	33.7	2.2	16.1	1.2	16.8	2.5
I didn't attend orientation	8.7	0.5	6.5	0.7	19.0	2.0	5.7	0.8	17.1	2.3
I don't remember	42.9	0.9	44.4	1.3	30.9	2.8	45.1	1.5	41.5	3.0

¹ Per 100 students.

² Estimates reported for main campus only.

Table 3.1a. Percent of Undergraduate Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item Response	Current School Year			Since Entering College		
	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
<i>Total Involving physical force or incapacitation</i>	3,465	14.5	0.4	5,743	24.0	0.6
Penetration	1,273	5.3	0.3	2,607	10.9	0.4
Physical force only	635	2.7	0.2	1,377	5.8	0.3
Completed	297	1.2	0.1	713	3.0	0.2
Attempted	428	1.8	0.2	972	4.1	0.3
Incapacitation only	659	2.8	0.2	1,349	5.6	0.3
Both physical force and incapacitation	159	0.7	0.1	364	1.5	0.1
Sexual Touching	2,801	11.7	0.4	4,462	18.6	0.5
Physical force only	2,054	8.6	0.4	3,314	13.8	0.5
Incapacitation only	979	4.1	0.2	1,638	6.8	0.3
Both physical force and incapacitation	224	0.9	0.1	352	1.5	0.2

¹Per 100 students.

Table 3.1b. Percent of Graduate Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item Response	Current School Year			Since Entering College		
	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
<i>Total Involving physical force or incapacitation</i>	160	2.5	0.4	608	9.7	0.6
Penetration	54	0.9	0.2	282	4.5	0.4
Physical force only	34	0.5	0.2	164	2.6	0.3
Completed	22	0.3	0.1	97	1.5	0.2
Attempted	15	0.2	0.1	82	1.3	0.2
Incapacitation only	20	0.3	0.1	146	2.3	0.4
Both physical force and incapacitation	s	s	s	47	0.7	0.2
Sexual Touching	128	2.0	0.3	451	7.2	0.5
Physical force only	97	1.5	0.3	342	5.4	0.4
Incapacitation only	30	0.5	0.1	143	2.3	0.3
Both physical force and incapacitation	11	0.2	0.1	32	0.5	0.1

¹Per 100 students.

Table 3.1c. Percent of Undergraduate Male Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item Response	Current School Year			Since Entering College		
	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
<i>Total Involving physical force or incapacitation</i>	887	3.6	0.4	1,301	5.3	0.4
Penetration	350	1.4	0.2	538	2.2	0.3
Physical force only	157	0.6	0.2	226	0.9	0.2
Completed	91	0.4	0.1	156	0.6	0.2
Attempted	93	0.4	0.1	119	0.5	0.1
Incapacitation only	207	0.9	0.1	357	1.5	0.3
Both physical force and incapacitation	s	s	s	s	s	s
Sexual Touching	655	2.7	0.3	972	4.0	0.4
Physical force only	381	1.6	0.2	546	2.2	0.3
Incapacitation only	347	1.4	0.2	541	2.2	0.2
Both physical force and incapacitation	57	0.2	0.1	65	0.3	0.1

¹Per 100 students.

Table 3.1d. Percent of Graduate Male Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item Response	Current School Year			Since Entering College		
	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
<i>Total Involving physical force or incapacitation</i>	95	1.5	0.3	210	3.2	0.5
Penetration	44	0.7	0.2	101	1.6	0.3
Physical force only	28	0.4	0.2	61	0.9	0.3
Completed	s	s	s	45	0.7	0.3
Attempted	s	s	s	35	0.5	0.2
Incapacitation only	19	0.3	0.2	54	0.8	0.2
Both physical force and incapacitation	s	s	s	16	0.3	0.2
Sexual Touching	75	1.2	0.3	173	2.7	0.4
Physical force only	52	0.8	0.3	119	1.8	0.4
Incapacitation only	29	0.4	0.2	65	1.0	0.3
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	s	s	s

¹Per 100 students.

Table 3.1e. Percent of Undergraduate Students of Other Gender Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item Response	Current School Year			Since Entering College		
	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
<i>Total Involving physical force or incapacitation</i>	32	9.2	3.4	79	22.7	4.7
Penetration	s	s	s	45	12.9	3.7
Physical force only	s	s	s	30	8.6	3.0
Completed	s	s	s	26	7.6	3.0
Attempted	-	-	-	12	3.5	1.9
Incapacitation only	s	s	s	23	6.8	2.8
Both physical force and incapacitation	s	s	s	s	s	s
Sexual Touching	26	7.5	3.0	52	15.1	4.0
Physical force only	26	7.5	3.0	48	13.9	3.9
Incapacitation only	s	s	s	14	4.2	2.1
Both physical force and incapacitation	s	s	s	s	s	s

¹Per 100 students.

Table 3.1f. Percent of Graduate Students of Other Gender Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item Response	Current School Year			Since Entering College		
	Number	%	StdErr	Number	%	StdErr
<i>Total Involving physical force or incapacitation</i>	-	-	-	S	S	S
Penetration	-	-	-	-	-	-
Physical force only	-	-	-	-	-	-
Completed	-	-	-	-	-	-
Attempted	-	-	-	-	-	-
Incapacitation only	-	-	-	-	-	-
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sexual Touching	-	-	-	S	S	S
Physical force only	-	-	-	S	S	S
Incapacitation only	-	-	-	-	-	-
Both physical force and incapacitation	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹Per 100 students.

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)				Male (n=4,235)			
			Undergraduate (n=5,158)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,679)		Undergraduate (n=3,221)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Sexual orientation</i>										
Heterosexual	12.3	0.3	23.5	0.6	9.2	0.6	4.6	0.4	2.2	0.4
Non-Heterosexual	19.4	1.2	30.1	2.0	13.8	3.1	12.5	2.0	12.0	3.0
<i>Ethnicity</i>										
Hispanic	15.9	1.9	27.2	3.4	7.7	3.0	10.0	2.8	5.4	2.7
Not Hispanic	12.8	0.3	23.8	0.6	9.8	0.6	5.2	0.4	3.1	0.5
<i>Race</i>										
American Indian or Alaska Native	17.5	2.6	26.8	4.6	18.6	9.2	7.6	4.0	s	s
Asian	7.0	0.5	14.2	1.3	5.4	1.2	4.0	0.9	2.5	0.9
Black or African American	12.6	1.1	19.8	1.9	8.1	2.5	5.2	1.6	s	s
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	13.9	4.4	26.8	8.4	s	s	s	s	-	-
White	14.3	0.3	26.0	0.6	11.0	0.7	5.7	0.4	3.3	0.6
<i>Disability</i>										
Yes	18.8	1.9	31.2	2.8	19.8	5.0	6.1	2.1	17.1	8.3
No	12.7	0.2	23.7	0.6	9.3	0.6	5.3	0.4	2.9	0.4
<i>Marital status</i>										
Never married	7.3	0.5	-	-	10.9	0.9	-	-	4.1	0.7
Not married but living with a partner	9.2	1.5	-	-	12.3	2.0	-	-	4.4	1.8
Married	3.5	0.5	-	-	5.7	0.9	-	-	1.3	0.6
Divorced or separated	7.1	2.8	-	-	11.8	4.4	-	-	-	-
Other	12.3	5.2	-	-	16.1	6.3	-	-	s	s

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)				Male (n=4,235)			
			Undergraduate (n=5,158)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,679)		Undergraduate (n=3,221)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Year in School and Timing of Incidents</i>										
<i>Undergraduate</i>										
<i>Current year</i>										
Freshman	9.9	0.7	17.3	1.1	-	-	2.0	0.5	-	-
Sophomore	10.7	0.5	18.1	0.9	-	-	3.3	0.6	-	-
Junior	9.5	0.5	14.8	0.7	-	-	4.1	0.7	-	-
Senior	7.5	0.4	11.3	0.6	-	-	4.1	0.6	-	-
<i>Since entering college</i>										
Freshman	10.1	0.7	17.7	1.1	-	-	2.0	0.5	-	-
Sophomore	14.2	0.6	23.2	1.1	-	-	4.9	0.7	-	-
Junior	15.4	0.6	24.4	1.0	-	-	6.0	0.7	-	-
Senior	16.0	0.6	26.6	0.9	-	-	6.3	0.8	-	-

Table 3.2. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)				Male (n=4,235)			
			Undergraduate (n=5,158)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,679)		Undergraduate (n=3,221)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Graduate/Professional</i>										
<i>Current year</i>										
1st year	2.3	0.5	-	-	3.0	0.8	-	-	1.5	0.7
2nd year	2.1	0.4	-	-	2.6	0.8	-	-	1.8	0.6
3rd year	1.6	0.5	-	-	2.4	0.8	-	-	s	s
4th year	2.2	0.7	-	-	2.6	1.1	-	-	2.0	1.0
5th year	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
6th year or higher	2.3	1.1	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s
<i>Since entering college</i>										
1st year	6.3	0.8	-	-	10.0	1.3	-	-	2.5	0.9
2nd year	6.2	0.8	-	-	7.7	1.0	-	-	4.5	1.0
3rd year	7.8	1.1	-	-	12.0	1.5	-	-	2.2	1.0
4th year	6.3	1.1	-	-	8.3	1.7	-	-	4.9	1.5
5th year	2.8	1.1	-	-	6.1	2.4	-	-	-	-
6th year or higher	9.2	2.0	-	-	15.2	3.5	-	-	4.2	2.2

¹ Since enrolled in the college. Per 100 students.

² Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

³ Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.3. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Type of Behavior, Victim Characteristics and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	Total		Rape				Sexual Battery			
			Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional		Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Sexual orientation</i>										
Heterosexual	20.6	0.5	10.4	0.4	4.4	0.5	18.5	0.5	6.7	0.6
Non-Heterosexual	26.8	1.6	16.5	1.6	6.7	2.1	20.9	1.8	10.2	2.6
<i>Ethnicity</i>										
Hispanic	22.6	2.7	15.9	2.6	4.7	2.5	21.2	3.3	5.3	2.1
Not Hispanic	20.9	0.5	10.7	0.4	4.5	0.4	18.5	0.5	7.3	0.6
<i>Race</i>										
American Indian or Alaska Native	25.8	4.1	14.1	3.8	s	s	20.8	4.6	s	s
Asian	11.5	0.9	6.9	1.0	2.5	0.8	10.2	1.2	4.0	1.1
Black or African American	17.8	1.6	9.8	1.4	8.1	2.5	14.8	1.7	3.2	1.7
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	25.4	7.4	-	-	s	s	26.8	8.4	s	s
White	23.2	0.5	11.7	0.5	4.8	0.5	20.4	0.6	8.4	0.6
<i>Disability</i>										
Yes	29.1	2.4	16.4	2.2	13.5	4.3	20.3	2.6	9.6	3.8
No	20.7	0.5	10.7	0.4	4.2	0.4	18.6	0.5	7.1	0.5
<i>Marital status</i>										
Never married	10.9	0.9	-	-	5.7	0.7	-	-	7.6	0.8
Not married but living with a partner	12.3	2.0	-	-	4.5	1.3	-	-	9.6	1.9
Married	5.7	0.9	-	-	2.3	0.6	-	-	4.3	0.9
Divorced or separated	11.8	4.4	-	-	6.9	3.6	-	-	11.8	4.4
Other	16.1	6.3	-	-	s	s	-	-	16.1	6.3

Table 3.3. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Type of Behavior, Victim Characteristics and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Rape				Sexual Battery			
			Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional		Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Year in School and Timing of Incidents</i>										
<i>Undergraduate</i>										
<i>Current year</i>										
Freshman	17.3	1.1	6.5	0.7	-	-	13.9	1.1	-	-
Sophomore	18.1	0.9	5.4	0.7	-	-	15.2	0.9	-	-
Junior	14.8	0.7	5.9	0.5	-	-	12.0	0.8	-	-
Senior	11.3	0.6	4.5	0.5	-	-	8.8	0.5	-	-
<i>Since entering college</i>										
Freshman	17.7	1.1	6.9	0.7	-	-	14.2	1.1	-	-
Sophomore	23.2	1.1	8.9	0.8	-	-	19.4	1.0	-	-
Junior	24.4	1.0	10.9	0.7	-	-	19.1	0.9	-	-
Senior	26.6	0.9	13.5	0.8	-	-	19.6	0.9	-	-
<i>Graduate/Professional</i>										
<i>Current year</i>										
1st year	3.0	0.8	-	-	1.1	0.4	-	-	2.5	0.7
2nd year	2.6	0.8	-	-	1.1	0.5	-	-	1.6	0.6
3rd year	2.4	0.8	-	-	s	s	-	-	2.1	0.7
4th year	2.6	1.1	-	-	s	s	-	-	2.6	1.1
5th year	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	s
6th year	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s

Table 3.3. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Type of Behavior, Victim Characteristics and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Rape				Sexual Battery			
			Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional		Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Since entering college</i>										
1st year	10.0	1.3	-	-	4.1	0.8	-	-	7.7	1.2
2nd year	7.7	1.0	-	-	4.7	0.8	-	-	4.7	0.8
3rd year	12.0	1.5	-	-	5.4	1.1	-	-	9.9	1.5
4th year	8.3	1.7	-	-	3.2	1.2	-	-	6.8	1.6
5th year	6.1	2.4	-	-	s	s	-	-	4.8	2.2
6th year	15.2	3.5	-	-	8.8	2.8	-	-	9.5	2.7

¹Since enrolled in the college. Per 100 students.

²Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

³Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.4a. Number of Times Females Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Enrollment Status for the Current year and Since Entering College^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Undergraduate (n=5,158)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,679)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Current school year</i>						
<i>Number of times</i>						
0 times	95.6	0.3	94.7	0.3	99.1	0.2
1 time	2.7	0.2	3.3	0.2	0.5	0.1
2 times	1.0	0.1	1.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
3 times	0.5	0.1	0.6	0.1	s	s
4 or more times	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	-	-
<i>Since entering college</i>						
<i>Number of times</i>						
0 times	90.4	0.4	89.1	0.4	95.5	0.4
1 time	5.2	0.3	6.0	0.3	2.2	0.3
2 times	2.2	0.2	2.4	0.2	1.4	0.3
3 times	1.1	0.1	1.3	0.1	0.5	0.2
4 or more times	1.1	0.1	1.2	0.1	0.4	0.1

¹Per 100 students.

²Includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force or c) by incapacitation.

Table 3.4b. Number of Times Students Reported Nonconsensual Sexual Touching by Physical Force or Incapacitation by Current School Year or Since Entering Current College by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)				Male (n=4,235)			
			Undergraduate (n=5,158)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,679)		Undergraduate (n=3,221)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Current school year</i>										
<i>Number of times</i>										
0 times	94.0	0.2	88.3	0.4	98.0	0.3	97.3	0.3	98.8	0.3
1 time	2.8	0.1	5.3	0.3	1.3	0.3	1.4	0.3	0.6	0.2
2 times	1.6	0.1	3.1	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.6	0.1	0.5	0.2
3 times	0.8	0.1	1.6	0.2	s	s	0.3	0.1	-	-
4 or more times	0.9	0.1	1.7	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.1	s	s
<i>Since entering college</i>										
<i>Number of times</i>										
0 times	90.1	0.2	81.4	0.5	92.8	0.5	96.0	0.4	97.3	0.4
1 time	3.4	0.2	6.0	0.3	2.8	0.3	1.7	0.2	0.9	0.3
2 times	2.7	0.1	5.2	0.3	1.7	0.3	0.9	0.2	0.8	0.2
3 times	1.5	0.1	3.1	0.2	1.1	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.1
4 or more times	2.3	0.1	4.3	0.3	1.6	0.3	1.0	0.2	0.8	0.3

¹Per 100 students.

²Includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force or b) by incapacitation.

Table 3.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Time - occurred during academic break				
No incidents occurred during academic break	75.2	2.5	76.9	2.8
Some incidents occurred during academic break	12.4	1.8	10.3	1.6
All incidents occurred during academic break	12.4	1.9	12.8	2.2
Location				
<i>Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?</i>				
Yes	48.5	2.6	41.1	2.9
No	51.5	2.6	58.9	2.9
<i>On university property</i>				
University residence hall/dorm	58.2	3.9	55.1	4.6
Fraternity or Sorority house	19.8	2.5	26.5	3.2
Other space used by single-sex org	s	s	-	-
Other residential housing	22.3	3.4	21.8	3.9
Non-residential building	11.7	2.4	12.4	3.5
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	9.6	2.2	7.1	2.0
<i>Not on university property</i>				
Private residence	77.8	2.9	83.2	2.7
Fraternity or Sorority house	13.2	2.6	9.9	2.3
Other space used by single-sex org	s	s	s	s
Restaurant, bar, or club	21.5	3.1	12.6	2.2
Other social venue	5.5	1.5	3.6	1.3
Outdoor or recreational space	3.4	1.6	s	s
Some other place	10.0	2.6	9.0	1.9

¹Per 100 victims.

²Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.5b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Time - occurred during academic break				
No incidents occurred during academic break	77.5	1.7	84.5	2.0
Some incidents occurred during academic break	15.7	1.3	10.3	1.6
All incidents occurred during academic break	6.9	1.0	5.3	1.3
Location				
<i>Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?</i>				
Yes	41.3	1.6	37.3	2.9
No	58.7	1.6	62.7	2.9
<i>On university property</i>				
University residence hall/dorm	31.7	2.5	44.5	4.5
Fraternity or Sorority house	21.5	2.2	20.2	3.3
Other space used by single-sex org	s	s	1.9	1.2
Other residential housing	14.5	2.5	23.6	3.1
Non-residential building	24.2	2.2	10.2	2.7
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	34.3	2.6	17.5	3.0
<i>Not on university property</i>				
Private residence	42.4	2.0	63.1	3.3
Fraternity or Sorority house	12.3	1.5	9.7	2.1
Other space used by single-sex org	s	s	s	s
Restaurant, bar, or club	53.4	1.7	39.4	3.6
Other social venue	11.7	1.5	8.4	1.9
Outdoor or recreational space	7.5	1.2	4.0	1.4
Some other place	10.8	1.4	3.4	1.3

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.5c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Time Occurred During Year, Location of Incident and by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Time - occurred during academic break				
No incidents occurred during academic break	73.6	4.4	76.6	5.3
Some incidents occurred during academic break	19.1	4.4	16.9	4.2
All incidents occurred during academic break	7.3	2.9	6.5	2.9
Location				
<i>Did it occur on campus or affiliated property?</i>				
Yes	35.7	6.4	34.5	6.4
No	64.3	6.4	65.5	6.4
<i>On university property</i>				
University residence hall/dorm	46.0	9.8	55.8	10.5
Fraternity or Sorority house	16.5	7.4	s	s
Other space used by single-sex org	s	s	s	s
Other residential housing	22.2	7.5	29.0	7.7
Non-residential building	22.1	7.6	19.1	8.3
Other property (e.g., outdoors)	38.3	8.7	s	s
<i>Not on university property</i>				
Private residence	47.9	7.2	74.9	6.9
Fraternity or Sorority house	17.7	4.7	s	s
Other space used by single-sex org	s	s	-	-
Restaurant, bar, or club	31.1	6.0	22.9	6.4
Other social venue	9.6	3.8	8.4	4.4
Outdoor or recreational space	6.8	3.2	s	s
Some other place	10.1	3.6	6.6	3.3

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.6a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Offender characteristics				
<i>Gender</i>				
Female	2.1	0.8	2.0	0.8
Male	98.8	0.5	98.5	0.6
Other gender identity	-	-	s	s
<i>Number of offenders</i>				
1 offender	73.5	2.2	67.6	2.6
2 offenders	14.3	1.7	21.1	2.1
3 or more offenders	12.2	1.6	11.3	1.7
<i>University affiliation</i>				
Student	74.3	2.1	76.5	1.9
Faculty or instructor	s	s	s	s
Coach or trainer	s	s	-	-
Other staff or administrator	1.1	0.5	0.9	0.5
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	1.7	0.6	2.0	0.7
The person was not affiliated with university	10.8	1.4	8.4	1.6
Don't know association with university	25.3	2.3	23.8	2.2
<i>Relationship to victim</i>				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	24.4	2.2	19.8	2.4
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	17.3	1.7	15.1	2.4
Teacher or advisor	s	s	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	2.0	0.7	s	s
Friend or acquaintance	57.5	2.3	63.4	2.6
Stranger	30.4	2.3	28.9	2.8
Other	2.8	0.7	2.8	0.9
Don't Know	1.2	0.6	s	s

¹Per 100 victims.

²Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.6b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Offender characteristics				
<i>Gender</i>				
Female	1.0	0.4	3.2	1.0
Male	98.9	0.3	98.9	0.6
Other gender identity	0.6	0.3	-	-
<i>Number of offenders</i>				
1 offender	65.1	1.8	61.1	3.1
2 offenders	20.2	1.8	25.0	2.6
3 or more offenders	14.7	1.2	14.0	2.2
<i>University affiliation</i>				
Student	71.4	1.6	80.5	1.8
Faculty or instructor	0.7	0.3	s	s
Coach or trainer	-	-	-	-
Other staff or administrator	2.0	0.6	s	s
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	0.8	0.3	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	25.4	1.5	15.1	2.0
Don't know association with university	15.1	1.0	15.3	1.9
<i>Relationship to victim</i>				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	9.4	1.0	9.5	1.5
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	6.0	0.7	7.7	1.5
Teacher or advisor	0.5	0.2	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	3.4	0.7	1.9	0.7
Friend or acquaintance	34.1	1.7	50.5	3.1
Stranger	60.1	1.7	48.7	2.6
Other	1.8	0.5	2.0	0.8
Don't Know	2.3	0.6	2.6	0.9

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.6c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Offender Characteristics and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Offender characteristics				
<i>Gender</i>				
Female	73.7	5.7	80.1	4.7
Male	38.9	6.6	28.4	5.1
Other gender identity	-	-	s	s
<i>Number of offenders</i>				
1 offender	67.4	5.3	73.6	5.1
2 offenders	15.7	4.3	12.8	4.3
3 or more offenders	16.9	4.3	13.6	3.9
<i>University affiliation</i>				
Student	72.9	4.3	85.5	3.4
Faculty or instructor	s	s	s	s
Coach or trainer	3.8	1.9	-	-
Other staff or administrator	s	s	-	-
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	s	s	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	16.9	4.1	9.2	3.1
Don't know association with university	13.8	4.4	21.0	4.4
<i>Relationship to victim</i>				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	5.7	2.6	9.7	3.0
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	6.8	2.6	11.9	3.7
Teacher or advisor	s	s	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	5.2	2.4	-	-
Friend or acquaintance	39.4	5.1	58.4	6.0
Stranger	53.1	5.4	26.2	5.0
Other	s	s	5.2	2.6
Don't Know	5.6	2.5	s	s

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.7a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Involvement of Substances				
<i>Offender drinking alcohol</i>				
Yes	63.5	2.5	84.4	2.1
No	26.0	2.1	7.8	1.5
Don't know	10.5	1.8	7.8	1.6
<i>Offender using drugs</i>				
Yes	12.4	1.7	11.0	1.9
No	48.5	2.3	45.6	2.8
Don't know	39.0	2.4	43.4	2.9
<i>Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol</i>				
Yes	60.6	2.3	94.4	1.2
No	39.4	2.3	5.6	1.2
<i>Victim voluntarily using drugs</i>				
Yes	5.4	1.1	7.8	1.7
No	94.6	1.1	92.2	1.7
<i>Victim given alcohol or drugs without knowledge or consent</i>				
Yes, I am certain	2.4	0.8	2.4	0.8
I suspect, but I am not certain	8.5	1.4	15.7	2.2
No	78.1	1.9	70.5	2.7
Don't know	11.0	1.4	11.4	1.9
<i>Victim passed out for all or part of incident</i>				
Yes	28.4	2.5	37.2	2.8
No	55.0	2.5	32.2	2.7
Not sure	16.6	2.6	30.6	2.5

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Nonconsensual penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³ Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.7b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Involvement of Substances				
<i>Offender drinking alcohol</i>				
Yes	62.5	1.8	82.6	2.5
No	15.7	1.1	8.4	1.7
Don't know	21.8	1.5	9.0	1.6
<i>Offender using drugs</i>				
Yes	5.1	0.8	7.6	1.3
No	31.8	1.3	37.9	2.5
Don't know	63.1	1.4	54.6	2.5
<i>Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol</i>				
Yes	63.2	1.7	85.9	2.4
No	36.8	1.7	14.1	2.4
<i>Victim voluntarily using drugs</i>				
Yes	2.9	0.6	3.4	0.9
No	97.1	0.6	96.6	0.9
<i>Victim given alcohol or drugs without knowledge or consent</i>				
Yes, I am certain	0.9	0.3	1.6	0.7
I suspect, but I am not certain	2.4	0.5	5.9	1.2
No	90.8	1.0	84.2	1.9
Don't know	5.8	0.8	8.3	1.4
<i>Victim passed out for all or part of incident</i>				
Yes	5.7	1.0	12.5	1.8
No	89.1	1.5	69.4	2.9
Not sure	5.1	1.1	18.1	2.3

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³ Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.7c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Involvement of Substances and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Involvement of Substances				
<i>Offender drinking alcohol</i>				
Yes	65.9	5.2	83.8	5.8
No	15.3	3.9	4.7	2.4
Don't know	18.8	4.3	11.6	4.5
<i>Offender using drugs</i>				
Yes	7.0	2.7	6.9	2.8
No	33.5	6.1	55.9	6.7
Don't know	59.5	5.9	37.2	6.2
<i>Victim voluntarily drinking alcohol</i>				
Yes	59.4	5.3	90.3	3.9
No	40.6	5.3	9.7	3.9
<i>Victim voluntarily using drugs</i>				
Yes	9.3	3.2	11.5	4.1
No	90.7	3.2	88.5	4.1
<i>Victim given alcohol or drugs without knowledge or consent</i>				
Yes, I am certain	4.0	2.1	-	-
I suspect, but I am not certain	s	s	5.4	2.6
No	89.2	3.3	85.1	4.2
Don't know	5.3	2.4	9.5	3.9
<i>Victim passed out for all or part of incident</i>				
Yes	15.3	5.9	17.2	4.8
No	75.4	6.7	58.5	7.5
Not sure	9.3	4.3	24.3	6.8

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³ Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.8a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactics^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Consequences				
<i>Physical</i>				
Physical injuries	13.0	1.8	1.5	0.6
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	76.0	6.6	80.5	21.1
Chipped or knocked out teeth	-	-	-	-
Broken bones	s	s	-	-
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	48.1	8.3	s	s
Other injuries	10.9	4.3	-	-
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	4.3	1.0	3.2	0.9
Become pregnant from the experience	s	s	s	s
None of the above	82.6	1.9	94.1	1.3
<i>Emotional</i>				
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	48.7	2.5	44.3	3.2
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	39.4	2.1	23.3	2.1
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	37.1	2.4	28.3	2.9
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	32.8	2.2	22.3	2.7
Feeling numb or detached	46.2	2.5	44.3	3.0
Headaches or stomach aches	20.7	1.9	14.4	1.9
Eating problems or disorders	19.8	1.8	12.1	1.6
Increased drug or alcohol use	20.1	2.1	23.3	2.4
None of the above	25.0	2.0	29.9	2.7

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Penetration by force includes contact that was: a) completed by physical force or threat of physical force; or b) attempted but not completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³ Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.8b. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactics^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Consequences				
<i>Physical</i>				
Physical injuries	2.1	0.5	1.6	0.6
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	86.6	8.5	s	s
Chipped or knocked out teeth	-	-	-	-
Broken bones	-	-	-	-
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	s	s	s	s
Other injuries	s	s	s	s
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	0.7	0.3	s	s
Become pregnant from the experience	s	s	-	-
None of the above	96.2	0.6	97.1	0.9
<i>Emotional</i>				
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	15.8	1.2	19.4	2.1
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	23.4	1.6	9.9	1.6
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	9.9	1.1	9.4	1.6
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	10.3	1.3	8.6	1.8
Feeling numb or detached	13.8	1.1	15.1	2.0
Headaches or stomach aches	7.9	0.9	4.5	1.1
Eating problems or disorders	3.8	0.7	2.0	0.8
Increased drug or alcohol use	4.7	0.7	5.5	1.1
None of the above	61.5	1.6	66.2	2.8

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³ Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.8c. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation by Physical and Emotional Consequences and Tactics^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Consequences				
<i>Physical</i>				
Physical injuries	-	-	-	-
Bruises, black-eye, cuts, scratches, or swelling	-	-	-	-
Chipped or knocked out teeth	-	-	-	-
Broken bones	-	-	-	-
Internal injury from the sexual contact (e.g., vaginal or anal tearing)	-	-	-	-
Other injuries	-	-	-	-
Contract a sexually transmitted disease	s	s	-	-
Become pregnant from the experience	-	-	-	-
None of the above	93.3	2.6	96.6	2.2
<i>Emotional</i>				
Difficulty concentrating on studies, assignments or exams	17.9	3.6	16.5	5.0
Fearfulness or being concerned about safety	14.2	3.9	4.9	2.6
Loss of interest in daily activities, or feelings of helplessness and hopelessness	8.7	2.9	5.4	3.2
Nightmares or trouble sleeping	6.9	2.7	6.9	2.9
Feeling numb or detached	11.0	3.0	12.7	3.9
Headaches or stomach aches	4.4	2.3	5.7	3.3
Eating problems or disorders	5.3	2.3	4.0	2.9
Increased drug or alcohol use	s	s	5.5	2.8
None of the above	67.6	4.8	69.4	4.9

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³ Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.9a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	Penetration				Sexual Touching			
	By Force		By Incapacitation		By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program								
<i>Reported to a Program</i>								
Yes	23.3	2.2	14.4	2.1	5.3	0.8	2.0	0.7
No	76.7	2.2	85.6	2.1	94.7	0.8	98.0	0.7
<i>Did not contact a Program</i>								
Did not know where to go or who to tell	17.9	2.3	14.0	2.3	9.0	1.1	5.6	1.4
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	33.5	2.9	33.2	2.3	12.7	1.3	14.5	2.1
I did not think anyone would believe me	15.5	1.8	8.8	1.6	5.3	0.8	2.7	0.8
I did not think it was serious enough to report	64.8	3.2	65.0	3.0	76.2	1.6	80.0	2.5
I did not want the person to get into trouble	22.6	2.5	27.9	2.7	8.8	1.1	14.4	1.9
I feared negative social consequences	25.9	2.8	26.2	2.5	9.8	0.9	10.9	1.8
I did not think anything would be done	34.6	2.5	20.7	2.4	19.5	1.4	12.1	1.8
I feared it would not be kept confidential	18.6	2.5	13.8	2.2	4.5	0.7	6.7	1.6
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	4.9	1.3	2.4	1.1	2.0	0.4	2.4	0.9
Incident did not occur while attending school	15.4	2.3	22.5	2.8	17.4	1.3	13.8	2.1
Other Reason	6.5	1.3	12.2	1.8	11.8	1.3	11.4	2.0

Table 3.9a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Penetration				Sexual Touching			
	By Force		By Incapacitation		By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Report to others</i>								
Friend	77.9	2.1	76.2	2.2	75.8	1.4	78.9	1.9
Family member	19.6	2.0	18.2	2.2	13.6	1.1	8.4	1.6
Faculty	3.6	0.9	2.3	1.0	1.5	0.4	-	-
Someone else	10.2	1.7	5.6	1.3	3.9	0.7	2.6	0.9
I didn't tell anyone else	20.1	2.0	22.9	2.3	22.8	1.4	21.9	1.9

¹ Per 100 victims.

²Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

³ Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.9b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation Reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Tactic^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	By Force		By Incapacitation	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program				
<i>Reported to a program</i>				
Inapplicable	-	-	-	-
No	94.6	2.4	96.9	2.2
Yes	5.4	2.4	s	s
<i>Did not contact a program</i>				
Did not know where to go or who to tell	6.3	2.4	5.2	2.6
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	12.6	3.7	7.9	2.9
I did not think anyone would believe me	3.7	2.0	s	s
I did not think anything would be done	5.6	2.5	5.1	2.6
I did not think it was serious enough to report	65.6	5.2	80.1	4.7
I did not want the person to get into trouble	9.6	3.1	7.7	2.9
I feared it would not be kept confidential	6.2	2.7	10.3	3.6
I feared negative social consequences	7.6	3.0	17.5	4.1
Incident did not occur while attending school	12.1	4.1	8.3	3.2
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	s	s	s	s
Other Reason	16.2	4.1	17.6	4.4
<i>Report to others</i>				
Friend	63.1	5.4	71.0	6.1
Family member	12.3	4.2	3.7	2.0
Faculty	s	s	-	-
Someone else	s	s	s	s
I didn't tell anyone else	27.6	4.6	25.5	6.1

¹Per 100 victims.

²Sexual touching by force includes contact that was completed by physical force or threat of physical force.

³Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization^{1, 2}

<i>Survey Item Response</i>	Sexual Violence Support Coordinator (Student Advocacy)		Counseling and Consultation Service (CCS)		Wilce Student Health Center	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	28.0	3.4	66.3	3.9	18.7	2.5
<i>When contacted</i>						
Fall of 2014 - present	45.6	6.9	48.3	4.6	36.3	8.5
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	16.7	5.1	28.9	4.1	33.9	8.9
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	14.0	4.8	12.8	2.8	21.8	6.9
Prior to Fall 2012	23.7	6.0	11.2	2.8	s	s
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014						
<i>How useful</i>						
Not at all	s	s	11.6	3.9	s	s
A little	s	s	14.4	4.5	s	s
Somewhat	-	-	19.0	6.3	s	s
Very	24.1	8.9	27.8	5.8	40.3	16.0
Extremely	56.7	12.5	28.8	5.7	21.1	10.9
<i>Pressure from university on whether to proceed</i>						
Yes	s	s	10.4	4.1	s	s
To proceed	s	s	85.8	14.7	-	-
Not to proceed	-	-	s	s	s	s
No	s	s	89.6	4.1	91.6	7.6

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Sexual Violence Support Coordinator (Student Advocacy)		Counseling and Consultation Service (CCS)		Wilce Student Health Center	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	28.0	3.4	66.3	3.9	18.7	2.5
<i>Program showed respect towards victim</i>						
Excellent	69.3	11.8	45.1	6.9	47.1	15.1
Very good	s	s	21.1	6.2	44.5	16.4
Good	17.8	9.2	24.8	6.5	s	s
Fair	-	-	7.1	3.1	-	-
Poor	s	s	s	s	s	s
<i>Helped to understand options</i>						
Excellent	45.0	12.0	36.8	6.9	24.0	12.6
Very good	26.5	10.8	16.2	4.4	31.2	15.3
Good	s	s	20.4	5.7	55.4	16.7
Fair	s	s	14.9	5.3	-	-
Poor	s	s	11.8	3.9	s	s

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization^{1, 2} (continued)

<i>Survey Item Response</i>	Student Conduct		Resident Advisors and Hall Directors		Student Legal Services	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	15.1	3.0	18.7	2.9	4.3	1.5
<i>When contacted</i>						
Fall of 2014 - present	36.8	10.2	49.2	9.5	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	26.8	8.4	22.0	7.0	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	25.6	10.9	17.7	8.3	s	s
Prior to Fall 2012	10.8	5.4	11.0	5.5	s	s
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014						
<i>How useful</i>						
Not at all	43.3	21.1	27.7	12.8	-	-
A little	-	-	s	s	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	s	s	-	-
Very	30.2	16.8	-	-	-	-
Extremely	s	s	39.8	13.1	s	s
<i>Pressure from university on whether to proceed</i>						
Yes	30.6	17.8	27.8	13.9	-	-
To proceed	46.4	52.6	100.0	0.0	-	-
Not to proceed	s	s	-	-	-	-
No	69.4	17.8	72.2	13.9	s	s

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Student Conduct		Resident Advisors and Hall Directors		Student Legal Services	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	15.1	3.0	18.7	2.9	4.3	1.5
<i>Program showed respect towards victim</i>						
Excellent	s	s	68.4	16.0	s	s
Very good	s	s	s	s	-	-
Good	29.7	19.2	s	s	-	-
Fair	s	s	s	s	-	-
Poor	s	s	s	s	-	-
<i>Helped to understand options</i>						
Excellent	s	s	33.1	13.7	-	-
Very good	29.4	20.2	25.4	12.4	-	-
Good	s	s	s	s	-	-
Fair	s	s	-	-	-	-
Poor	s	s	s	s	-	-

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization^{1, 2} (continued)

<i>Survey Item Response</i>	Title IX Coordinator		Office of Human Resources		OSU Campus Police	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	4.9	1.9	-	-	15.5	2.4
<i>When contacted</i>						
Fall of 2014 - present	s	s	-	-	17.5	9.1
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	s	s	-	-	57.8	11.9
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	s	s
Prior to Fall 2012	s	s	-	-	18.2	9.3
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014						
<i>How useful</i>						
Not at all	s	s	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	s	s
Somewhat	s	s	-	-	s	s
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	-	-	-	-	s	s
<i>Pressure from university on whether to proceed</i>						
Yes	s	s	-	-	s	s
To proceed	-	-	-	-	s	s
Not to proceed	s	s	-	-	-	-
No	s	s	-	-	s	s

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Title IX Coordinator		Office of Human Resources		OSU Campus Police	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	4.9	1.9	-	-	15.5	2.4
<i>Program showed respect towards victim</i>						
Excellent	-	-	-	-	S	S
Very good	-	-	-	-	-	-
Good	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fair	-	-	-	-	-	-
Poor	S	S	-	-	S	S
<i>Helped to understand options</i>						
Excellent	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very good	-	-	-	-	S	S
Good	S	S	-	-	-	-
Fair	-	-	-	-	S	S
Poor	S	S	-	-	S	S

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Columbus Police	
	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	18.5	3.0
<i>When contacted</i>		
Fall of 2014 - present	48.9	9.0
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	25.9	8.6
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-
Prior to Fall 2012	25.2	7.8
Evaluation of Contact made since fall of 2014		
<i>How useful</i>		
Not at all	25.0	14.3
A little	16.0	15.2
Somewhat	40.5	15.8
Very	s	s
Extremely	s	s
<i>Pressure from university on whether to proceed</i>		
Yes	25.3	14.2
To proceed	s	s
Not to proceed	s	s
No	74.7	14.2

Table 3.9c. Percent of Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Physical Force or Incapacitation reporting to a Program, Reporting to Others and Reasons Why Did Not Report to an Organization^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Columbus Police	
	%	StdErr
Report of incident to a Program	18.5	3.0
<i>Program showed respect towards victim</i>		
Excellent	s	s
Very good	41.5	17.3
Good	29.5	14.5
Fair	-	-
Poor	s	s
<i>Helped to understand options</i>		
Excellent	s	s
Very good	s	s
Good	46.7	17.2
Fair	s	s
Poor	s	s

¹ Per 100 victims.

²Includes contact involving: 1) penetration by physical force or threat of physical force, 2) attempted, but not completed, penetration by physical force or threat of physical force; 3) penetration by incapacitation, 4) sexual touching by physical force or threat of physical force, 5) sexual touching by incapacitation.

Table 4.1 Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College, Gender and Enrollment Status¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)				Male (n=4,235)			
			Undergraduate (n=5,158)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,679)		Undergraduate (n=3,221)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Current school year</i>										
Coercion	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.1	-	-	0.3	0.1	s	s
Penetration	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	-	-	0.2	0.1	s	s
Sexual touching	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	-	-	0.2	0.1	-	-
Absence of affirmative consent	3.4	0.2	6.4	0.3	1.7	0.2	1.6	0.2	0.3	0.2
Penetration	1.3	0.1	2.5	0.2	1.0	0.2	0.5	0.1	s	s
Sexual touching	2.5	0.1	4.7	0.3	1.0	0.2	1.3	0.2	s	s
<i>Since entering college</i>										
Coercion	0.5	0.1	0.6	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.2
Penetration	0.3	0.0	0.5	0.1	s	s	0.2	0.1	s	s
Sexual touching	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	s	s
Absence of affirmative consent	6.0	0.2	10.6	0.4	5.2	0.4	2.6	0.3	1.3	0.3
Penetration	2.5	0.1	4.7	0.3	2.4	0.3	0.9	0.1	0.6	0.2
Sexual touching	4.3	0.2	7.5	0.3	3.5	0.4	2.0	0.2	1.1	0.3

¹ Per 100 students.

Table 4.1 Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Current Year vs. Since Entering College, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}(continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=82)			
	Undergraduate (n=65)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Current school year</i>				
Coercion	s	s	s	s
Penetration	s	s	-	-
Sexual touching	-	-	s	s
Absence of affirmative consent	6.2	2.5	13.8	7.3
Penetration	4.2	2.3	s	s
Sexual touching	5.2	2.4	13.8	7.3
<i>Since entering college</i>				
Coercion	s	s	s	s
Penetration	s	s	-	-
Sexual touching	-	-	s	s
Absence of affirmative consent	12.5	3.7	27.4	10.3
Penetration	7.7	3.2	s	s
Sexual touching	8.1	3.0	27.4	10.3

¹Per 100 students.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.2. Number of Times Students Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)				Male (n=4,235)			
			Undergraduate (n=5,158)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,679)		Undergraduate (n=3,221)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Current school year										
<i>Coercion</i>										
<i>Penetration</i>										
0 times	99.8	0.0	99.9	0.0	100	0.0	99.8	0.1	99.9	0.1
1 time	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	-	-	0.1	0.0	-	-
2 times	0.0	0.0	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-
3 times	0.0	0.0	s	s	-	-	-	-	s	s
4 or more times	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	-	s	s	-	-
<i>Sexual Touching</i>										
0 times	99.9	0.0	99.9	0.0	100	0.0	99.8	0.1	100	0.0
1 time	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	-	-	0.1	0.0	-	-
2 times	0.0	0.0	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-
3 times	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	-	s	s	-	-
4 or more times	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	-	s	s	-	-
<i>Without affirmative consent</i>										
<i>Penetration</i>										
0 times	98.7	0.1	97.5	0.2	99.0	0.2	99.5	0.1	99.8	0.1
1 time	0.7	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.1	-	-
2 times	0.4	0.0	0.7	0.1	s	s	0.1	0.1	s	s
3 times	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.1	s	s	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	s	s

Table 4.2. Number of Times Students Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)				Male (n=4,235)			
			Undergraduate (n=5,158)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,679)		Undergraduate (n=3,221)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Without affirmative consent</i>										
<i>Sexual Touching</i>										
0 times	97.5	0.1	95.3	0.3	99.0	0.2	98.7	0.2	99.8	0.1
1 time	1.5	0.1	2.8	0.2	0.7	0.2	0.7	0.1	s	s
2 times	0.7	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.1	-	-
3 times	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.1	s	s	s	s	-	-
4 or more times	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.1	s	s	0.2	0.1	s	s
<i>Since entering college</i>										
<i>Coercion</i>										
<i>Penetration</i>										
0 times	99.7	0.0	99.5	0.1	99.9	0.1	99.8	0.1	99.8	0.1
1 time	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.1	-	-	0.1	0.0	s	s
2 times	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	s	s	s	s	-	-
3 times	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	-	-	-	-	s	s
4 or more times	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	-	s	s	-	-
<i>Sexual Touching</i>										
0 times	99.8	0.0	99.8	0.1	99.8	0.1	99.8	0.1	99.8	0.1
1 time	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	s	s	0.1	0.0	s	s
2 times	0.0	0.0	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
3 times	0.0	0.0	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
4 or more times	0.1	0.0	s	s	-	-	0.1	0.1	-	-

Table 4.2. Number of Times Students Experienced Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Behavior, Tactic, Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)				Male (n=4,235)			
			Undergraduate (n=5,158)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,679)		Undergraduate (n=3,221)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Without affirmative consent</i>										
<i>Penetration</i>										
0 times	97.5	0.1	95.3	0.3	97.6	0.3	99.1	0.1	99.4	0.2
1 time	1.3	0.1	2.4	0.2	1.2	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.1
2 times	0.7	0.1	1.4	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.1	s	s
3 times	0.3	0.0	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.1	s	s	-	-
4 or more times	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.1	s	s
<i>Sexual Touching</i>										
0 times	95.7	0.2	92.5	0.3	96.5	0.4	98.0	0.2	98.9	0.3
1 time	2.2	0.1	4.1	0.3	2.0	0.3	0.9	0.2	0.5	0.2
2 times	1.2	0.1	2.0	0.2	0.8	0.2	0.6	0.1	s	s
3 times	0.4	0.1	0.7	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	s	s
4 or more times	0.5	0.1	0.7	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.2

¹Per 100 students.

²Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)				Male (n=4,235)			
			Undergraduate (n=5,158)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,679)		Undergraduate (n=3,221)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Sexual orientation</i>										
Heterosexual	5.4	0.2	10.3	0.3	4.7	0.4	1.8	0.2	0.9	0.3
Non-Heterosexual	11.4	0.9	14.9	1.7	10.7	2.6	9.8	1.7	4.9	2.0
<i>Ethnicity</i>										
Hispanic	7.8	1.1	12.5	2.1	7.1	2.8	5.3	1.8	-	-
Not Hispanic	5.9	0.2	10.5	0.4	5.1	0.5	2.5	0.3	1.4	0.4
<i>Race</i>										
American Indian or Alaska Native	11.4	2.0	12.5	3.1	19.4	9.8	s	s	-	-
Asian	3.4	0.4	7.9	1.2	1.8	0.7	1.5	0.6	s	s
Black or African American	6.6	1.0	7.5	1.1	8.5	2.7	5.5	1.7	s	s
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	13.6	5.2	10.6	7.0	14.8	14.5	15.6	9.8	-	-
White	6.5	0.2	11.3	0.4	5.9	0.5	2.9	0.3	1.6	0.5
<i>Disability</i>										
Yes	7.6	1.2	8.9	1.7	12.0	3.8	6.5	2.2	-	-
No	5.9	0.2	10.7	0.4	5.0	0.4	2.5	0.2	1.4	0.3

Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)				Male (n=4,235)			
			Undergraduate (n=5,158)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,679)		Undergraduate (n=3,221)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Never married	3.8	0.4	-	-	6.1	0.7	-	-	1.9	0.6
Not married but living with a partner	4.5	0.8	-	-	6.7	1.3	-	-	s	s
Married	1.6	0.3	-	-	2.7	0.6	-	-	s	s
Divorced or separated	2.7	1.8	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s
Other	6.6	3.5	-	-	10.7	5.3	-	-	-	-
<i>Year in School and Timing of Incidents</i>										
<i>Undergraduate</i>										
<i>Current year</i>										
Freshman	3.7	0.4	6.4	0.6	-	-	0.8	0.3	-	-
Sophomore	4.9	0.4	7.8	0.7	-	-	1.7	0.4	-	-
Junior	4.1	0.4	7.0	0.6	-	-	1.1	0.3	-	-
Senior	3.5	0.3	5.1	0.5	-	-	2.0	0.4	-	-
<i>Since entering college</i>										
Freshman	3.8	0.4	6.7	0.6	-	-	0.8	0.3	-	-
Sophomore	6.3	0.5	9.7	0.8	-	-	2.7	0.5	-	-
Junior	7.0	0.5	11.7	0.8	-	-	1.9	0.4	-	-
Senior	7.6	0.5	11.9	0.7	-	-	3.5	0.5	-	-

Table 4.3. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Gender and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)				Male (n=4,235)			
			Undergraduate (n=5,158)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,679)		Undergraduate (n=3,221)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Graduate/Professional</i>										
<i>Current year</i>										
1st	1.7	0.4	-	-	2.0	0.5	-	-	1.0	0.6
2nd	0.8	0.3	-	-	1.8	0.6	-	-	-	-
3rd	1.3	0.4	-	-	2.4	0.8	-	-	-	-
4th	1.0	0.5	-	-	1.9	1.0	-	-	-	-
5th	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6th year or more	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Since entering college</i>										
1st	3.1	0.5	-	-	4.3	0.7	-	-	1.3	0.6
2nd	3.4	0.5	-	-	6.2	1.0	-	-	s	s
3rd	4.1	0.7	-	-	6.5	1.1	-	-	s	s
4th	4.1	1.0	-	-	4.6	1.5	-	-	3.4	1.5
5th	2.4	1.1	-	-	5.3	2.4	-	-	-	-
6th year or more	3.2	1.3	-	-	3.3	1.4	-	-	s	s

¹Per 100 students.

²Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior and Enrollment Status^{1,2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Penetration				Sexual Touching			
			Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional		Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Sexual orientation</i>										
Heterosexual	9.1	0.3	4.5	0.3	2.4	0.3	7.3	0.3	3.0	0.3
Non-Heterosexual	14.0	1.3	7.7	1.2	2.3	1.0	10.6	1.4	9.6	2.6
<i>Ethnicity</i>										
Hispanic	11.3	1.8	5.6	1.6	3.6	1.8	9.4	1.8	7.1	2.8
Not Hispanic	9.4	0.3	4.7	0.3	2.3	0.3	7.4	0.3	3.3	0.4
<i>Race</i>										
American Indian or Alaska Native	13.4	3.0	5.4	s	s	8.0	8.4	2.6	s	s
Asian	6.0	0.8	3.8	0.8	s	s	4.7	0.8	1.0	0.5
Black or African American	7.7	1.0	3.5	0.9	5.6	2.5	4.7	0.9	2.9	1.5
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	11.5	6.0	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-
White	10.2	0.3	5.0	0.3	2.5	0.4	8.1	0.3	4.3	0.5
<i>Disability</i>										
Yes	9.5	1.5	3.9	1.3	8.9	3.5	5.7	1.4	4.7	2.4
No	9.5	0.3	4.8	0.3	2.2	0.3	7.6	0.3	3.5	0.4
<i>Marital status</i>										
Never married	6.1	0.7	-	-	2.7	0.5	-	-	4.2	0.6
Not married but living with a partner	6.7	1.3	-	-	2.2	0.8	-	-	4.9	1.1
Married	2.7	0.6	-	-	1.5	0.5	-	-	1.6	0.5
Divorced or separated	4.6	2.9	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s
Other	10.7	5.3	-	-	10.7	5.3	-	-	s	s

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

[illegible]

Table 4.4. Percent of Female Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Absence of Affirmative Consent by Victim Characteristics, Behavior and Enrollment Status^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Penetration				Sexual Touching			
			Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional		Undergraduate		Graduate or Professional	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Since entering college</i>										
1st year	4.3	0.7	-	-	1.6	0.4	-	-	3.2	0.6
2nd year	6.2	1.0	-	-	2.9	0.7	-	-	4.3	0.9
3rd year	6.5	1.1	-	-	3.9	0.9	-	-	4.4	1.0
4th year	4.6	1.5	-	-	s	s	-	-	2.9	1.2
5th year	5.3	2.4	-	-	s	s	-	-	3.4	1.8
6th year	3.3	1.4	-	-	2.3	1.2	-	-	s	s

¹Per 100 students.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 4.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Coercion				Absence of Affirmative Consent			
	Penetration		Sexual Touching		Penetration		Sexual Touching	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Offender characteristics								
<i>Gender</i>								
Male	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	98.4	0.7	98.3	0.6
Female	-	-	-	-	1.2	0.6	1.8	0.7
Other gender identity	-	-	-	-	1.5	0.7	s	s
<i>Number of offenders</i>								
1 offender	84.6	8.3	100.0	0.0	75.9	2.2	69.6	2.1
2 offenders	s	s	-	-	13.3	2.0	18.8	1.9
3 or more offenders	s	s	-	-	10.8	2.0	11.5	1.6
<i>University affiliation</i>								
Student	45.0	10.5	83.9	31.5	71.8	2.4	74.0	2.1
Faculty or instructor	-	-	-	-	s	s	s	s
Coach or trainer	-	-	-	-	s	s	-	-
Other staff or administrator	s	s	-	-	s	s	1.6	0.6
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	-	-	-	-	s	s	1.0	0.4
The person was not affiliated with university	18.4	8.2	s	s	3.9	1.1	19.2	1.7
Don't know association with university	26.7	8.4	-	-	29.2	2.5	12.9	1.6

Table 4.5a. Percent of Female Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Coercion				Absence of Affirmative Consent			
	Penetration		Sexual Touching		Penetration		Sexual Touching	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Relationship to victim</i>								
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	35.0	11.1	s	s	46.2	2.9	20.0	2.1
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	22.7	9.9	-	-	17.0	2.2	9.1	1.5
Teacher or advisor	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	s
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	-	-	-	-	1.6	0.6	3.1	1.0
Friend or acquaintance	22.8	9.3	62.1	19.5	43.3	2.9	42.0	2.3
Stranger	s	s	-	-	14.3	1.9	42.1	2.3
Other	s	s	-	-	1.3	0.7	1.2	0.5
Don't Know	14.1	6.7	-	-	-	-	1.6	0.9

¹Per 100 victims.

²Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 4.5b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Coercion				Absence of Affirmative Consent			
	Penetration		Sexual Touching		Penetration		Sexual Touching	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Offender characteristics								
<i>Gender</i>								
Male	s	s	s	s	50.1	10.2	37.1	6.1
Female	87.0	12.9	60.0	24.8	59.3	11.2	71.6	6.1
Other gender identity	s	s	-	-	-	-	s	s
<i>Number of offenders</i>								
1 offender	74.6	25.5	80.0	20.1	58.9	8.4	68.5	6.6
2 offenders	s	s	s	s	24.7	8.2	22.3	5.7
3 or more offenders	-	-	-	-	16.4	5.9	9.1	3.8
<i>University affiliation</i>								
Student	64.1	22.8	s	s	76.3	8.2	69.5	7.0
Faculty or instructor	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s
Coach or trainer	-	-	-	-	s	s	-	-
Other staff or administrator	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	-	-	s	s	s	s	16.2	4.9
Don't know association with university	s	s	s	s	26.0	7.7	17.8	6.1

Table 4.5b. Percent of Male Victims of Nonconsensual Penetration or Sexual Touching Involving Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent by Offender Characteristics by Behavior and Tactic^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Coercion				Absence of Affirmative Consent			
	Penetration		Sexual Touching		Penetration		Sexual Touching	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Relationship to victim</i>								
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	s	s	61.7	24.2	42.1	9.1	12.6	4.1
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	-	-	s	s	17.9	8.1	5.6	2.9
Teacher or advisor	-	-	-	-	s	s	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Friend or acquaintance	s	s	s	s	50.8	7.7	52.4	6.1
Stranger	s	s	s	s	12.6	5.5	38.7	6.4
Other	s	s	-	-	s	s	s	s
Don't Know	-	-	-	-	s	s	s	s

¹Per 100 victims.

²Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 4.6. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)		Male (n=4,235)		TGQN (n=82)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	12.5	0.2	20.1	0.5	4.8	0.4	20.9	3.9
Penetration	4.9	0.2	7.8	0.3	1.9	0.2	10.3	3.0
Sexual Touching	10.0	0.2	16.3	0.4	3.7	0.3	14.8	3.4
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	12.9	0.3	21.0	0.5	4.9	0.4	20.9	3.9
Penetration	5.8	0.2	9.6	0.4	2.1	0.2	10.3	3.0
Sexual Touching	10.0	0.2	16.3	0.4	3.7	0.3	14.8	3.4
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	13.2	0.3	21.2	0.5	5.1	0.4	23.2	4.3
Penetration	6.0	0.2	9.8	0.4	2.2	0.3	12.1	3.3
Sexual Touching	10.1	0.2	16.4	0.4	3.8	0.3	17.1	3.9
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	16.0	0.3	25.4	0.5	6.6	0.4	30.1	4.5
Penetration	7.6	0.2	12.6	0.4	2.7	0.3	17.2	4.0
Sexual Touching	12.4	0.2	19.8	0.4	5.0	0.4	22.5	4.0

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.7a. Percent of Undergraduates Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,158)		Male (n=3,221)		TGQN (n=65)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	14.1	0.3	23.0	0.5	5.3	0.4	22.7	4.7
Penetration	5.5	0.2	8.9	0.4	2.0	0.3	12.9	3.7
Sexual Touching	11.3	0.3	18.6	0.5	4.0	0.4	15.1	4.0
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	14.6	0.3	24.0	0.6	5.3	0.4	22.7	4.7
Penetration	6.6	0.2	10.9	0.4	2.2	0.3	12.9	3.7
Sexual Touching	11.3	0.3	18.6	0.5	4.0	0.4	15.1	4.0
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	14.9	0.3	24.2	0.6	5.6	0.4	22.7	4.7
Penetration	6.8	0.2	11.2	0.4	2.3	0.3	15.1	4.0
Sexual Touching	11.4	0.3	18.8	0.5	4.1	0.4	15.1	4.0
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	18.0	0.3	28.7	0.5	7.3	0.5	27.9	5.1
Penetration	8.6	0.3	14.2	0.4	2.9	0.4	20.3	4.7
Sexual Touching	13.9	0.3	22.5	0.5	5.5	0.4	18.3	4.3

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.7b. Percent of Graduate and Professional Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=1,679)		Male (n=1,014)		TGQN (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	6.2	0.4	9.2	0.6	3.2	0.5	s	s
Penetration	2.6	0.2	3.8	0.4	1.4	0.3	-	-
Sexual Touching	4.9	0.3	7.2	0.5	2.7	0.4	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	6.4	0.4	9.7	0.6	3.2	0.5	s	s
Penetration	3.0	0.3	4.5	0.4	1.6	0.3	-	-
Sexual Touching	4.9	0.3	7.2	0.5	2.7	0.4	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	6.6	0.4	9.7	0.6	3.2	0.5	25.3	12.8
Penetration	3.1	0.3	4.6	0.4	1.6	0.4	-	-
Sexual Touching	5.1	0.4	7.3	0.5	2.7	0.4	25.3	12.8
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	8.6	0.4	12.8	0.6	4.1	0.5	39.1	12.4
Penetration	4.0	0.3	6.2	0.4	1.9	0.4	s	s
Sexual Touching	6.7	0.4	9.7	0.6	3.3	0.5	39.1	12.4

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.8. Percent of Seniors Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent Since Enrolling at University by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=1,711)		Male (n=1,148)		TGQN (n=25)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	15.4	0.6	25.4	0.9	6.1	0.8	21.0	7.0
Penetration	6.9	0.4	11.2	0.6	2.9	0.6	16.9	6.3
Sexual Touching	11.7	0.5	19.6	0.9	4.5	0.6	13.7	5.8
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	16.0	0.6	26.6	0.9	6.3	0.8	21.0	7.0
Penetration	8.2	0.4	13.5	0.8	3.2	0.6	16.9	6.3
Sexual Touching	11.7	0.5	19.6	0.9	4.5	0.6	13.7	5.8
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using physical force	16.3	0.6	26.9	1.0	6.4	0.8	21.0	7.0
Penetration	8.4	0.4	13.9	0.8	3.3	0.6	16.9	6.3
Sexual Touching	11.8	0.6	19.8	0.9	4.6	0.6	13.7	5.8
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using physical force	19.9	0.7	32.0	1.0	8.7	0.9	24.2	7.4
Penetration	10.5	0.5	17.2	0.8	4.2	0.7	20.2	6.7
Sexual Touching	14.9	0.6	24.3	1.0	6.3	0.7	16.9	6.3

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.9. Percent of Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)		Male (n=4,235)		TGQN (n=82)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	7.2	0.2	11.5	0.3	3.0	0.3	7.4	2.7
Penetration	2.3	0.1	3.5	0.2	1.1	0.2	s	s
Sexual Touching	6.0	0.2	9.7	0.3	2.4	0.3	6.0	2.4
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	7.5	0.2	12.0	0.3	3.2	0.3	7.4	2.7
Penetration	2.8	0.1	4.4	0.3	1.3	0.2	s	s
Sexual Touching	6.0	0.2	9.7	0.3	2.4	0.3	6.0	2.4
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	7.7	0.2	12.0	0.3	3.4	0.3	9.7	3.4
Penetration	2.9	0.1	4.5	0.3	1.3	0.2	4.0	2.2
Sexual Touching	6.1	0.2	9.7	0.3	2.5	0.3	8.3	3.1
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	9.6	0.2	15.0	0.4	4.3	0.3	15.8	3.8
Penetration	3.9	0.2	6.2	0.3	1.6	0.2	8.3	2.8
Sexual Touching	7.6	0.2	12.0	0.3	3.3	0.3	13.7	3.6

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.10a. Percent of Undergraduates Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=5,158)		Male (n=3,221)		TGQN (n=65)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	8.6	0.2	13.8	0.4	3.5	0.4	9.2	3.4
Penetration	2.7	0.1	4.2	0.3	1.2	0.2	s	s
Sexual Touching	7.2	0.2	11.7	0.4	2.7	0.3	7.5	3.0
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	9.0	0.2	14.5	0.4	3.6	0.4	9.2	3.4
Penetration	3.4	0.2	5.3	0.3	1.4	0.2	s	s
Sexual Touching	7.2	0.2	11.7	0.4	2.7	0.3	7.5	3.0
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	9.2	0.2	14.5	0.4	3.9	0.4	9.2	3.4
Penetration	3.5	0.2	5.4	0.3	1.5	0.2	5.0	2.7
Sexual Touching	7.3	0.2	11.7	0.4	2.8	0.4	7.5	3.0
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	11.4	0.3	17.9	0.5	5.0	0.4	13.4	3.9
Penetration	4.6	0.2	7.3	0.4	1.9	0.3	9.2	3.4
Sexual Touching	9.1	0.2	14.4	0.4	3.8	0.4	10.7	3.6

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.10b. Percent of Graduate and Professional Students Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=1,679)		Male (n=1,014)		TGQN (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	1.9	0.2	2.5	0.4	1.3	0.3	-	-
Penetration	0.6	0.1	0.7	0.2	0.5	0.2	-	-
Sexual Touching	1.6	0.2	2.0	0.3	1.2	0.3	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	2.0	0.2	2.5	0.4	1.5	0.3	-	-
Penetration	0.8	0.2	0.9	0.2	0.7	0.2	-	-
Sexual Touching	1.6	0.2	2.0	0.3	1.2	0.3	-	-
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	2.1	0.3	2.5	0.4	1.5	0.3	s	s
Penetration	0.8	0.2	0.9	0.2	0.7	0.2	-	-
Sexual Touching	1.7	0.2	2.0	0.3	1.2	0.3	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	2.8	0.3	3.8	0.4	1.6	0.3	25.6	11.6
Penetration	1.2	0.2	1.7	0.3	0.7	0.2	s	s
Sexual Touching	2.2	0.3	2.8	0.4	1.3	0.3	25.6	11.6

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 4.11. Percent of Seniors Experiencing Nonconsensual Sexual Contact Involving Physical Force, Incapacitation, Coercion and Absence of Affirmative Consent for Current Year by Tactic and Gender¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=1,711)		Male (n=1,148)		TGQN (n=25)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation	7.1	0.4	10.8	0.6	3.8	0.5	s	s
Penetration	2.7	0.3	3.8	0.5	1.7	0.4	s	s
Sexual Touching	5.6	0.3	8.8	0.5	2.7	0.4	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	7.5	0.4	11.3	0.6	4.1	0.6	s	s
Penetration	3.3	0.3	4.5	0.5	2.2	0.5	s	s
Sexual Touching	5.6	0.3	8.8	0.5	2.7	0.4	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	7.6	0.4	11.4	0.6	4.2	0.6	s	s
Penetration	3.4	0.3	4.6	0.5	2.3	0.5	s	s
Sexual Touching	5.6	0.3	8.8	0.5	2.8	0.5	s	s
Completed using Physical Force or Incapacitation or Coercion or Absence of Affirmative Consent; Attempted Penetration using Physical Force	10.0	0.5	14.7	0.7	5.8	0.6	9.6	5.2
Penetration	4.5	0.3	6.3	0.5	2.8	0.5	s	s
Sexual Touching	7.5	0.4	11.3	0.6	4.0	0.5	s	s

¹TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment¹

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)				Male (n=4,235)			
			Undergraduate (n=5,158)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,679)		Undergraduate (n=3,221)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Harassment	48.6	0.5	60.8	0.7	45.0	1.1	41.2	0.8	32.5	1.5
<i>University-associated individual:</i>										
made sexual remarks, or insulting/offensive jokes or stories	28.6	0.4	38.9	0.8	30.7	1.0	20.0	0.7	18.1	1.2
made inappropriate comments regarding body, appearance, or sexual activity	38.5	0.4	48.0	0.8	34.7	1.0	33.3	0.7	24.0	1.3
said crude or gross sexual things or tried to engage in sexual conversation	17.5	0.3	24.0	0.6	14.3	0.7	13.2	0.5	11.2	0.9
transmitted offensive sexual remarks, stories, jokes, pictures, videos	11.6	0.3	16.8	0.5	7.7	0.6	8.8	0.5	6.1	0.6
asked to go out, get dinner, drinks, or have sex, despite refusal	11.9	0.3	21.9	0.5	9.7	0.6	4.6	0.4	3.9	0.6
Percent of Victims of Harassment										
<i>Number of offenders</i>										
1 person	27.0	0.5	26.4	0.8	32.6	1.8	26.8	1.2	27.4	2.0
2 persons	27.1	0.5	28.2	0.7	31.8	1.6	23.7	1.0	27.9	2.2
3 or more persons	46.0	0.6	45.4	0.8	35.6	1.7	49.5	1.2	44.6	2.2

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)				Male (n=4,235)			
			Undergraduate (n=5,158)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,679)		Undergraduate (n=3,221)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term</i>										
0 times	19.8	0.5	16.2	0.7	35.7	1.6	18.5	0.9	30.9	2.2
1 time	19.4	0.5	19.9	0.7	18.9	1.0	18.8	0.9	20.5	2.3
2 times	20.1	0.4	22.5	0.7	19.9	1.4	17.6	0.8	16.8	2.1
3-5 times	26.9	0.7	28.9	0.8	18.1	1.1	27.7	1.1	19.6	2.0
6-9 times	6.5	0.3	7.2	0.5	4.4	0.6	6.3	0.6	5.3	1.1
10 or more times	7.3	0.3	5.3	0.4	3.0	0.5	11.2	0.7	6.9	1.4
<i>Association with university</i>										
Student	90.5	0.3	92.5	0.4	80.1	1.2	92.0	0.7	84.0	1.6
Faculty	9.0	0.4	7.3	0.5	20.4	1.4	6.5	0.6	15.1	1.5
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	0.6	0.1	0.4	0.1	s	s	0.7	0.2	1.0	0.5
Other staff or administrator	6.7	0.3	5.0	0.3	14.3	1.2	5.0	0.5	15.5	1.6
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	2.9	0.2	2.6	0.3	3.9	0.6	2.8	0.4	3.2	0.8
The person was not affiliated with [University]	12.0	0.5	13.7	0.5	10.2	0.9	9.6	0.8	12.0	1.7
Don't know association with [University]	11.4	0.4	13.0	0.5	7.8	0.8	11.0	0.7	5.5	1.0

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)				Male (n=4,235)			
			Undergraduate (n=5,158)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,679)		Undergraduate (n=3,221)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Relationship to victim</i>										
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	5.6	0.3	7.3	0.5	4.1	0.7	3.8	0.5	3.6	0.9
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	6.7	0.3	9.1	0.5	4.7	0.7	4.4	0.6	3.3	0.9
Teacher or advisor	6.9	0.3	6.0	0.5	14.2	1.2	4.7	0.5	12.5	1.2
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	11.4	0.4	9.5	0.4	22.4	1.3	8.2	0.7	22.3	2.1
Friend or acquaintance	66.4	0.6	65.3	0.7	56.9	1.6	71.5	1.2	64.2	2.5
Stranger	48.4	0.7	58.5	0.9	33.3	1.7	41.6	1.3	28.5	2.2
Other	7.1	0.4	6.2	0.3	9.1	1.0	7.6	0.9	7.6	1.6
Don't Know	3.3	0.2	2.6	0.2	2.8	0.5	3.9	0.4	5.9	1.2

¹Per 100 students.

²Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=82)			
	Undergraduate (n=65)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Harassment	74.1	5.3	86.9	6.6
<i>University-associated individual:</i>				
made sexual remarks, or insulting/offensive jokes or stories	60.1	6.2	82.5	6.8
made inappropriate comments regarding body, appearance, or sexual activity	63.8	5.9	75.1	11.5
said crude or gross sexual things or tried to engage in sexual conversation	36.5	5.7	41.4	13.2
transmitted offensive sexual remarks, stories, jokes, pictures, videos	18.3	4.3	s	s
asked to go out, get dinner, drinks, or have sex, despite refusal	27.2	5.1	s	s
Percent of Victims of Harassment				
<i>Number of offenders</i>				
1 person	10.5	3.7	-	-
2 persons	32.3	6.0	21.6	11.3
3 or more persons	57.1	6.0	78.4	11.3

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=82)			
	Undergraduate (n=65)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term</i>				
0 times	14.6	4.7	s	s
1 time	14.7	4.4	s	s
2 times	12.5	4.2	17.7	9.8
3-5 times	39.4	6.3	20.4	13.3
6-9 times	7.4	3.5	s	s
10 or more times	11.3	4.0	21.9	13.9
<i>Association with university</i>				
Student	89.6	4.0	81.4	12.0
Faculty	15.4	4.9	42.2	15.2
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	s	s	s	s
Other staff or administrator	6.7	3.5	47.6	13.6
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	s	s	s	s
The person was not affiliated with [University]	25.2	6.2	s	s
Don't know association with [University]	21.6	5.8	-	-

Table 5.1a. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=82)			
	Undergraduate (n=65)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Relationship to victim</i>				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	9.9	4.0	s	s
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	7.0	3.3	-	-
Teacher or advisor	11.4	4.4	26.3	13.7
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	22.1	5.5	65.6	11.6
Friend or acquaintance	56.4	7.0	66.4	13.2
Stranger	69.7	6.4	42.3	14.5
Other	6.8	3.2	19.9	10.7
Don't Know	s	s	s	s

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to Others										
Friend	57.2	0.6	71.5	0.7	60.0	1.6	38.9	1.2	41.3	2.5
Family member	14.1	0.5	18.1	0.7	20.6	1.2	6.8	0.6	11.6	1.8
Faculty	2.8	0.2	2.4	0.3	6.5	0.8	1.3	0.3	5.9	1.1
Someone else	7.1	0.3	6.7	0.5	10.2	1.0	6.5	0.6	8.3	1.6
I didn't tell anyone else	38.7	0.6	26.4	0.7	30.5	1.5	56.6	1.3	51.8	2.2

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to a Program										
Contacted at least one program in university list	8.3	0.4	9.7	0.5	8.9	1.0	6.0	0.7	6.8	1.4
Contacted Sexual Violence Support Coordinator (Student Advocacy)	14.1	1.4	15.8	2.0	19.2	4.0	10.9	3.5	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	59.3	5.3	66.8	5.7	47.2	14.5	43.1	20.2	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	12.5	4.4	9.2	4.2	s	s	s	s	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	9.9	3.3	12.4	4.4	s	s	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	18.4	4.6	11.6	4.3	34.2	13.0	s	s	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	13.4	4.3	13.1	4.7	-	-	s	s	-	-
A little	9.9	3.4	8.0	4.0	s	s	s	s	-	-
Somewhat	11.9	3.9	5.9	3.1	s	s	s	s	s	s
Very	27.3	4.7	33.1	5.3	27.5	14.1	-	-	-	-
Extremely	37.5	6.2	40.0	7.2	51.8	15.1	s	s	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Counseling and Consultation Service (CCS)	39.8	2.2	44.3	2.6	44.3	5.2	30.3	5.0	26.1	8.2
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	56.2	3.6	59.1	4.5	46.0	8.1	50.3	10.8	45.2	17.7
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	22.2	2.5	20.7	3.2	12.6	5.2	32.7	10.1	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	14.5	2.5	18.7	3.3	14.0	7.0	s	s	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	7.2	1.9	s	s	27.4	7.7	s	s	s	s
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	17.6	2.8	18.7	3.2	11.0	6.1	20.8	8.8	s	s
A little	17.6	3.0	14.3	2.9	6.4	4.3	32.7	9.7	s	s
Somewhat	19.9	3.5	20.4	3.8	27.9	8.2	12.6	6.3	s	s
Very	27.9	3.7	29.5	3.5	22.5	7.1	25.5	9.4	s	s
Extremely	17.0	2.2	17.1	2.5	32.1	7.8	s	s	s	s

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Wilce Student Health Center	22.8	1.9	20.9	2.6	17.1	5.0	28.8	4.9	32.1	8.8
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	51.1	4.9	49.2	6.2	38.4	18.3	52.8	11.2	59.3	20.0
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	29.0	4.1	30.9	5.8	s	s	30.8	10.1	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	10.1	3.4	12.3	3.9	s	s	s	s	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	9.7	3.1	7.6	3.2	s	s	s	s	s	s
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	12.2	3.5	7.6	3.3	s	s	23.0	9.2	-	-
A little	19.3	3.7	24.6	6.3	s	s	10.6	6.1	s	s
Somewhat	16.8	3.9	19.5	5.4	s	s	s	s	s	s
Very	38.6	4.5	31.1	5.5	43.8	18.6	49.7	9.8	43.4	20.1
Extremely	13.1	2.7	17.2	3.9	-	-	s	s	s	s

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Student Conduct	15.5	1.6	14.9	2.1	18.4	3.3	17.9	3.9	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	48.7	5.9	49.1	8.2	54.9	14.2	45.0	14.2	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	18.3	4.6	21.0	6.3	s	s	s	s	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	19.7	4.8	19.7	6.8	s	s	27.8	13.4	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	13.2	4.5	10.3	4.6	27.2	11.8	s	s	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	10.4	3.6	s	s	18.7	9.7	19.3	10.0	-	-
A little	8.0	3.3	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-
Somewhat	29.6	5.0	27.5	8.1	31.6	13.8	24.5	12.1	s	s
Very	31.4	4.9	30.4	6.7	28.1	13.5	35.9	13.8	s	s
Extremely	20.7	4.8	31.8	8.3	s	s	s	s	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Resident Advisors and Hall Directors	27.8	1.8	32.3	2.5	8.5	2.8	30.8	4.7	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	49.0	4.6	47.2	4.6	s	s	50.2	10.2	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	20.0	3.0	20.2	3.4	-	-	25.3	8.0	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	17.4	4.0	18.6	4.0	-	-	19.5	9.1	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	13.6	3.3	14.0	3.6	62.2	23.2	s	s	s	s
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	6.3	2.4	6.4	3.1	-	-	s	s	-	-
A little	13.6	2.8	13.3	3.4	-	-	18.2	7.5	-	-
Somewhat	15.0	2.6	18.9	3.8	s	s	s	s	-	-
Very	33.2	3.5	27.4	4.1	57.7	21.4	46.1	9.0	s	s
Extremely	31.9	4.1	33.9	5.3	s	s	19.9	7.4	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Student Legal Services	10.8	1.4	9.9	1.5	9.5	3.3	15.5	3.7	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	44.7	6.1	54.4	9.5	34.9	18.7	23.7	13.3	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	25.4	6.7	20.4	6.9	s	s	41.4	18.4	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	20.3	6.3	21.2	7.2	s	s	s	s	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	9.6	4.3	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	11.6	4.2	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
A little	7.9	3.8	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-
Somewhat	26.3	6.8	11.0	5.7	s	s	40.7	16.8	s	s
Very	25.6	6.0	33.1	8.4	s	s	s	s	-	-
Extremely	28.7	6.5	45.0	9.7	s	s	s	s	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Title IX Coordinator	4.3	0.9	4.1	1.2	s	s	3.8	1.9	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	60.4	10.9	44.7	13.1	s	s	100	0.0	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	25.2	7.8	48.2	9.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	25.2	10.6	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-
A little	19.1	11.6	s	s	-	-	s	s	s	s
Somewhat	20.8	10.8	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	18.1	9.7	34.6	17.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	16.8	7.7	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Office of Human Resources	9.8	1.3	7.2	1.4	18.7	5.4	8.5	2.9	20.6	6.5
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	37.4	6.7	37.9	9.2	19.4	8.6	48.1	20.3	59.7	24.8
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	30.7	6.2	36.8	11.2	28.4	14.2	s	s	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	17.9	5.8	18.8	8.7	36.1	12.6	-	-	s	s
Prior to Fall of 2012	14.0	5.3	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	35.6	8.3	27.6	9.9	26.2	15.2	45.7	20.5	s	s
A little	20.2	5.4	s	s	35.0	11.2	42.4	20.2	s	s
Somewhat	22.4	6.2	33.8	9.8	s	s	-	-	s	s
Very	19.3	4.6	34.9	9.1	23.8	10.0	-	-	-	-
Extremely	s	s	-	-	-	-	s	s	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted OSU Campus Police	21.9	2.0	23.1	2.4	19.1	5.3	22.6	4.6	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	38.3	4.7	35.1	5.3	41.5	18.6	46.7	14.6	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	25.9	4.0	31.4	5.3	21.5	13.6	19.9	10.4	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	17.7	3.5	23.4	4.4	s	s	s	s	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	18.0	3.9	10.1	3.9	28.1	14.2	22.7	12.1	s	s
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	23.6	4.8	19.0	4.6	s	s	36.5	13.4	s	s
A little	13.2	3.1	14.5	4.5	20.5	12.0	s	s	-	-
Somewhat	29.9	4.5	34.1	5.9	s	s	28.4	10.3	s	s
Very	20.1	3.4	21.0	4.2	43.4	14.0	s	s	-	-
Extremely	13.3	3.2	11.4	3.2	22.9	10.3	s	s	-	-

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Columbus Police	13.3	1.4	13.7	1.8	18.3	3.7	9.0	3.0	23.2	7.8
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	34.1	4.8	39.2	6.1	s	s	45.2	22.8	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	25.4	4.9	32.1	7.0	21.7	10.0	-	-	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	13.5	3.8	17.9	5.7	s	s	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	27.0	5.6	10.9	5.5	46.4	14.9	54.8	22.8	57.4	26.2
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	18.0	3.6	18.4	5.7	24.9	11.4	s	s	s	s
A little	21.5	5.3	20.3	6.2	30.9	13.3	s	s	-	-
Somewhat	27.6	5.9	28.7	7.6	20.7	9.6	35.6	19.2	s	s
Very	25.8	5.3	25.4	6.3	s	s	s	s	s	s
Extremely	7.0	3.3	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s

Table 5.1b. Percent of Victims of Harassment Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Did not contact any programs										
Reason										
Did not know where to go or who to tell	8.6	0.4	10.2	0.5	7.3	0.9	7.2	0.7	6.0	1.3
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	4.6	0.3	6.4	0.5	4.0	0.6	2.8	0.4	2.1	0.8
I did not think anyone would believe me	2.4	0.2	2.9	0.3	1.9	0.4	1.9	0.3	s	s
I did not think it was serious enough to report	78.4	0.5	81.8	0.7	79.2	1.5	74.1	1.1	76.2	2.2
I did not want the person to get into trouble	10.6	0.5	10.1	0.6	12.8	1.3	10.3	0.9	11.3	1.7
I feared negative social consequences	9.5	0.4	10.4	0.6	13.0	1.3	7.3	0.7	6.6	1.2
I did not think anything would be done	17.5	0.5	20.6	0.6	22.1	1.5	12.2	0.9	11.1	1.4
I feared it would not be kept confidential	6.2	0.3	6.7	0.4	9.4	0.9	4.4	0.6	5.5	1.1
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	13.6	0.5	17.8	0.7	12.0	1.0	9.1	1.0	8.4	1.3
Incident did not occur while attending school	4.0	0.2	3.9	0.3	3.0	0.6	4.5	0.6	3.0	0.8
Other	14.8	0.5	12.8	0.6	12.5	1.1	18.2	1.0	15.0	1.5

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

³ Estimates reported for main campus only.

Table 5.2a. Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Experiencing Intimate Partner Violence by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1,2,3}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)				Male (n=4,235)			
			Undergraduate (n=5,158)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,679)		Undergraduate (n=3,221)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Reporting Intimate Partner Violence	11.6	0.3	14.1	0.5	8.4	0.6	10.7	0.6	7.0	0.9
Partner controlled or tried to control	7.3	0.3	9.0	0.4	5.5	0.5	6.6	0.4	4.3	0.8
Partner threatened to harm student, family, or themselves	4.9	0.2	6.3	0.3	3.5	0.4	3.9	0.4	3.3	0.7
Partner used physical force	4.7	0.2	5.7	0.3	3.2	0.4	4.4	0.5	2.9	0.6
Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence										
<i>Number of offenders</i>										
1 person	88.8	0.9	88.8	1.2	93.2	1.8	90.1	1.8	83.4	4.0
2 persons	8.9	0.9	10.5	1.1	6.0	1.8	6.2	1.5	12.3	3.6
3 or more persons	2.3	0.5	0.7	0.3	s	s	3.8	1.1	s	s
<i>Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term</i>										
0 times	36.7	1.3	35.4	1.7	60.4	4.5	31.7	2.9	40.2	5.8
1 time	23.0	1.3	24.3	1.7	13.3	3.0	23.0	2.4	27.6	5.5
2 times	17.3	1.0	18.3	1.6	10.0	2.6	19.3	2.1	14.2	5.1
3-5 times	15.0	1.1	14.7	1.3	12.3	2.6	17.5	2.5	7.3	3.3
6-9 times	3.2	0.6	3.0	0.6	s	s	4.0	1.4	s	s
10 or more times	4.7	0.6	4.3	0.8	2.3	1.2	4.5	1.2	8.9	3.4

Table 5.2a. Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Experiencing Intimate Partner Violence by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Harassment^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=82)			
	Undergraduate (n=65)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students in Partnered Relationships Reporting Intimate Partner Violence	33.7	7.0	29.7	13.3
Partner controlled or tried to control	22.0	6.1	22.3	12.0
Partner threatened to harm student, family, or themselves	25.0	6.5	s	s
Partner used physical force	15.3	5.0	-	-
Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence				
<i>Number of offenders</i>				
1 person	62.8	13.9	100.0	0.0
2 persons	s	s	-	-
3 or more persons	18.5	10.1	-	-
<i>Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term</i>				
0 times	39.8	11.8	76.4	25.4
1 time	20.6	10.1	-	-
2 times	-	-	-	-
3-5 times	19.7	9.7	-	-
6-9 times	-	-	s	s
10 or more times	19.9	10.6	-	-

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

²Percent of students who reported being in a partnered relationship since entering college (question A13 on questionnaire).

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to Others										
Friend	64.8	1.7	69.2	2.0	64.6	3.9	60.9	2.9	49.1	5.7
Family member	27.7	1.5	32.5	2.2	37.8	4.1	20.0	2.2	16.6	4.9
Faculty	1.9	0.5	1.3	0.4	3.9	1.5	s	s	7.5	3.3
Someone else	8.6	0.9	8.1	0.9	11.6	2.6	6.4	1.5	15.1	4.6
I didn't tell anyone else	29.8	1.7	25.0	2.1	30.3	3.2	34.7	2.8	42.1	5.7

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to a Program										
Contacted at least one program in university list	13.5	1.0	13.7	1.5	19.0	2.9	8.1	1.8	24.8	6.2
Contacted Sexual Violence Support Coordinator (Student Advocacy)	17.0	3.4	16.6	4.1	s	s	30.6	12.0	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	60.4	12.0	72.2	14.8	-	-	s	s	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	27.2	11.3	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	14.7	7.5	s	s	-	-	s	s	s	s
A little	30.9	13.2	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-
Somewhat	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	20.1	7.7	31.9	12.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	28.3	11.4	25.0	12.6	s	s	s	s	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Counseling and Consultation Service (CCS)	64.3	3.4	67.8	5.0	60.2	11.2	49.4	9.9	70.6	12.0
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	47.4	4.6	51.7	7.1	-	-	72.2	17.7	44.4	14.3
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	21.9	3.5	28.2	5.0	38.1	12.3	9.8	9.5	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	14.2	3.3	15.4	5.1	29.8	12.1	-	-	s	s
Prior to Fall of 2012	16.5	4.0	s	s	32.0	12.8	s	s	s	s
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	19.2	5.5	18.7	8.6	36.0	12.9	s	s	s	s
A little	14.8	3.8	17.4	5.2	19.9	10.1	s	s	-	-
Somewhat	22.8	4.1	23.2	5.8	s	s	s	s	40.5	14.5
Very	20.7	4.1	11.0	4.5	21.3	10.9	27.1	14.7	48.9	14.5
Extremely	22.5	4.9	29.8	6.6	s	s	s	s	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Wilce Student Health Center	6.9	2.0	10.8	3.7	s	s	-	-	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	37.2	16.7	41.9	21.2	s	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	28.5	13.3	s	s	-	-	-	-	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	26.0	13.5	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s
A little	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	28.0	14.9	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Extremely	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Student Conduct	6.5	2.0	7.5	3.1	-	-	s	s	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	40.8	18.9	72.8	19.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	s	s
Somewhat	45.4	19.7	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	31.0	17.3	55.3	27.5	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Resident Advisors and Hall Directors	11.1	3.0	14.0	3.8	-	-	20.7	11.3	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	45.1	10.5	41.3	16.5	-	-	s	s	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	s	s	-	-	-	-	s	s	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	21.0	10.3	34.4	14.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-
Somewhat	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	s	s	-	-	-	-	s	s	-	-
Extremely	39.1	13.6	49.1	16.2	-	-	s	s	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Student Legal Services	5.6	1.9	5.5	2.8	23.6	10.2	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	89.7	10.0	100	0.0	80.2	19.7	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	S	S	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-
Very	S	S	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-
Extremely	29.1	14.7	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

[illegible]

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

[illegible]

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted OSU Campus Police	13.7	2.9	16.0	4.2	15.3	8.5	s	s	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	26.1	11.5	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	22.9	10.2	40.3	15.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	25.9	13.1	25.7	13.3	s	s	s	s	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	25.1	10.0	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	18.9	10.0	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	29.7	10.7	30.7	14.9	s	s	s	s	-	-
Somewhat	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Very	24.3	9.7	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Extremely	17.5	8.9	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Columbus Police	15.6	3.3	16.2	4.4	28.6	9.0	8.9	8.4	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	46.7	12.3	72.6	14.3	s	s	-	-	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	22.9	8.7	27.4	14.3	-	-	-	-	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	30.3	11.5	-	-	81.5	18.3	s	s	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	42.3	12.4	47.5	17.7	s	s	s	s	-	-
A little	24.1	9.4	43.1	17.4	s	s	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	s	s	-	-	s	s	-	-	-	-
Very	12.6	6.7	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Extremely	13.6	7.0	-	-	s	s	-	-	s	s

Table 5.2b. Percent of Victims of Intimate Partner Violence Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in last school year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Did not contact any programs										
Reason										
Did not know where to go or who to tell	7.9	0.8	10.9	1.2	2.9	1.5	5.2	1.3	6.8	3.3
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	17.0	1.1	23.8	1.5	21.5	4.0	7.4	1.5	10.4	4.3
I did not think anyone would believe me	5.3	0.7	5.8	1.0	4.8	2.0	3.4	1.0	12.3	5.1
I did not think it was serious enough to report	64.4	1.8	66.1	2.3	48.7	5.1	68.9	2.7	55.0	7.5
I did not want the person to get into trouble	21.5	1.4	24.8	1.8	17.3	4.0	18.0	2.5	17.9	5.2
I feared negative social consequences	12.3	1.1	15.7	1.5	6.2	2.1	9.7	1.9	8.0	4.0
I did not think anything would be done	14.6	1.3	17.9	1.6	12.1	2.9	10.8	2.0	8.8	4.8
I feared it would not be kept confidential	8.1	1.0	9.4	1.5	6.8	2.2	7.1	1.5	s	s
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	30.5	1.4	32.5	2.2	44.2	4.5	24.4	2.4	33.1	5.0
Incident did not occur while attending school	4.6	0.7	3.6	0.8	5.0	1.8	5.7	1.6	5.3	2.8
Other	16.8	1.3	14.4	1.5	11.9	3.0	19.3	2.7	25.2	7.1

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

³ Estimates reported for main campus only.

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking^{1,2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)				Male (n=4,235)			
			Undergraduate (n=5,158)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,679)		Undergraduate (n=3,221)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Stalking	4.5	0.2	7.0	0.3	5.2	0.5	2.1	0.2	2.9	0.5
Unwanted calls, emails, messages, pictures, video on social networking that caused fear for personal safety	2.4	0.2	3.8	0.3	3.1	0.3	1.1	0.2	1.6	0.3
Showed up somewhere or waited for student in manner that caused fear for personal safety	2.1	0.1	3.4	0.2	2.2	0.3	0.9	0.1	1.4	0.3
Spied on, watched, or followed in manner that caused fear for personal safety	1.2	0.1	1.9	0.2	1.2	0.2	0.6	0.1	1.0	0.3
Percent of Victims of Stalking										
<i>Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term</i>										
0 times	2.4	0.2	28.3	2.3	45.0	5.6	5.1	2.7	43.0	8.3
1 time	2.1	0.1	15.1	1.8	9.4	3.6	22.6	6.1	16.6	6.6
2 times	1.2	0.1	19.8	2.1	15.7	4.0	30.9	5.7	23.4	8.0
3-5 times	2.4	0.2	25.9	2.2	22.6	4.7	25.6	4.2	10.2	5.5
6-9 times	2.1	0.1	7.3	1.1	4.3	1.9	7.3	2.7	-	-
10 or more times	1.2	0.1	3.8	0.9	s	s	8.4	3.1	s	s
<i>Association with university</i>										
Student	57.6	1.9	62.4	2.6	44.0	5.7	50.9	5.8	49.6	9.7
Faculty	3.3	1.0	2.0	0.7	5.3	2.3	s	s	s	s
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	s	s	-	-	-	-	s	s	-	-
Other staff or administrator	5.3	1.0	2.4	0.9	5.1	2.0	11.3	3.9	18.6	7.5
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	1.6	0.5	1.5	0.6	s	s	s	s	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	14.1	1.7	13.4	1.9	11.1	3.7	20.0	5.8	s	s
Don't know association with university	30.0	1.7	29.2	2.5	42.7	5.7	27.4	5.4	27.2	6.8

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,837)				Male (n=4,235)			
			Undergraduate (n=5,158)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,679)		Undergraduate (n=3,221)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Relationship to victim</i>										
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	9.1	1.2	7.5	1.5	18.5	4.0	8.5	3.6	s	s
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	25.6	1.9	26.2	2.3	31.7	4.4	19.4	5.3	21.3	8.1
Teacher or advisor	2.0	0.7	1.2	0.6	s	s	s	s	s	s
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	6.7	1.0	4.6	1.0	9.1	3.0	7.6	3.3	21.9	8.0
Friend or acquaintance	38.4	2.2	42.0	2.6	29.9	4.9	30.5	5.9	33.7	9.6
Stranger	33.0	2.6	34.8	2.9	23.9	4.7	32.6	6.5	34.5	9.3
Other	9.0	1.2	7.9	1.2	3.5	1.8	17.9	4.5	-	-
Don't Know	4.0	0.9	2.3	0.9	5.8	2.4	7.1	3.7	s	s

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=82)			
	Undergraduate (n=65)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Percent of Students Reporting Stalking	14.2	3.7	26.1	13.3
Unwanted calls, emails, messages, pictures, video on social networking that caused fear for personal safety	6.3	2.5	s	s
Showed up somewhere or waited for student in manner that caused fear for personal safety	10.0	3.2	s	s
Spied on, watched, or followed in manner that caused fear for personal safety	s	s	s	s
Percent of Victims of Stalking				
<i>Number of incidents since beginning of 2014 term</i>				
0 times	34.9	16.2	s	s
1 time	-	-	s	s
2 times	25.6	13.2	-	-
3-5 times	-	-	s	s
6-9 times	s	s	-	-
10 or more times	s	s	-	-
<i>Association with university</i>				
Student	71.0	14.4	s	s
Faculty	s	s	-	-
Coach, religious leader, or other non-academic advisor	-	-	-	-
Other staff or administrator	-	-	-	-
Other person affiliated with a university program (ex. internship, study abroad)	s	s	-	-
The person was not affiliated with university	s	s	-	-
Don't know association with university	s	s	-	-

Table 5.3a. Percent of Students Experiencing Stalking by Type, Gender, Enrollment Status and Characteristics of Stalking^{1,2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	TGQN (n=82)			
	Undergraduate (n=65)		Graduate or Professional (n=17)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
<i>Relationship to victim</i>				
At the time, it was someone I was dating or intimate with	s	s	-	-
Someone I had dated or was intimate with	33.5	14.5	s	s
Teacher or advisor	s	s	-	-
Co-worker, boss or supervisor	-	-	-	-
Friend or acquaintance	63.1	15.4	s	s
Stranger	39.5	16.0	-	-
Other	32.1	15.3	-	-
Don't Know	s	s	-	-

¹Unless otherwise indicated, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

²TGQN = Transgender woman, Transgender man, Genderqueer, gender non-conforming, questioning, not listed.

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to Others										
Friend	80.8	1.7	85.7	2.0	86.3	3.8	65.3	5.6	67.8	8.2
Family member	46.5	2.3	50.2	2.6	57.0	4.8	31.2	5.9	33.4	7.9
Faculty	7.9	1.2	6.9	1.6	14.1	4.0	6.2	3.2	12.1	5.8
Someone else	15.5	1.7	15.1	1.9	16.4	3.5	10.6	3.7	22.0	8.6
I didn't tell anyone else	10.6	1.5	7.3	1.4	6.7	2.8	24.7	5.9	s	s

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Reporting to a Program										
Contacted at least one program in university list	29.4	1.7	26.5	2.1	42.1	5.0	27.1	5.9	34.4	8.2
Contacted Sexual Violence Support Coordinator (Student Advocacy)	16.4	3.6	13.8	3.6	17.1	6.4	s	s	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	69.2	10.6	65.3	16.3	s	s	s	s	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	19.3	8.7	s	s	39.5	22.0	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-	s	s
A little	30.0	14.7	s	s	-	-	s	s	s	s
Somewhat	14.2	8.0	31.3	15.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	17.2	10.2	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Extremely	29.6	10.2	41.3	16.2	58.5	26.9	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Counseling and Consultation Service (CCS)	31.8	4.3	35.8	5.2	28.3	6.6	28.1	11.1	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	60.3	7.0	66.7	8.7	s	s	74.8	26.2	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	18.5	6.0	15.2	6.7	s	s	s	s	s	s
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	16.0	4.9	18.1	8.3	31.4	16.0	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	5.2	2.9	-	-	33.1	16.8	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	19.2	5.9	19.3	6.6	33.1	16.8	-	-	-	-
A little	23.3	6.2	16.6	6.5	s	s	s	s	s	s
Somewhat	9.2	4.4	12.4	6.9	s	s	-	-	-	-
Very	24.1	5.9	24.5	7.4	s	s	s	s	s	s
Extremely	24.2	7.3	27.3	9.3	s	s	s	s	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

[illegible]

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Student Conduct	11.3	2.5	11.6	3.4	7.3	3.8	s	s	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	46.1	14.5	62.7	16.9	s	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	34.1	15.5	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	16.6	9.0	29.7	15.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	s	-	-	27.8	30.8	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	39.6	15.2	-	-	s	s	s	s	-	-
A little	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	20.5	11.2	36.8	18.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	14.6	8.0	26.3	14.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	18.9	10.8	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Resident Advisors and Hall Directors	20.1	3.2	30.0	4.1	-	-	22.4	11.8	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	34.0	8.2	32.8	8.5	-	-	s	s	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	36.8	8.2	31.2	8.4	-	-	s	s	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	19.9	6.8	24.5	7.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	17.5	7.5	14.8	7.3	-	-	s	s	-	-
A little	21.3	7.9	17.1	6.9	-	-	s	s	-	-
Somewhat	15.3	7.0	11.6	5.8	-	-	s	s	-	-
Very	11.3	6.1	13.9	7.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	34.6	8.3	42.7	10.0	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Student Legal Services	13.0	2.4	15.3	3.7	18.9	6.4	s	s	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	58.6	11.6	59.6	14.4	s	s	s	s	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	18.1	9.4	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	15.6	8.1	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
A little	s	s	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	30.3	12.1	31.1	14.7	-	-	s	s	-	-
Very	14.4	7.5	s	s	s	s	-	-	-	-
Extremely	37.8	11.3	39.3	14.8	49.4	23.1	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Title IX Coordinator	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
A little	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Very	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extremely	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted OSU Campus Police	27.2	4.3	32.4	5.9	33.4	8.7	s	s	s	s
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	28.0	7.8	20.5	8.4	49.2	18.5	s	s	-	-
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	27.4	7.2	37.4	11.7	s	s	-	-	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	19.1	6.6	26.3	9.5	s	s	-	-	-	-
Prior to Fall of 2012	25.5	7.4	15.7	8.0	26.3	14.2	-	-	s	s
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	12.7	5.4	s	s	s	s	-	-	s	s
A little	12.3	4.9	15.8	6.8	s	s	-	-	-	-
Somewhat	24.1	7.4	23.0	7.7	s	s	s	s	-	-
Very	26.7	6.4	30.0	8.0	33.5	15.1	-	-	-	-
Extremely	24.2	5.6	23.5	8.0	41.2	12.3	-	-	-	-

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Contacted Columbus Police	31.6	3.3	33.8	5.4	26.6	6.5	31.6	11.5	39.5	16.6
Most recent contact										
Fall of 2014 - present	44.2	7.8	47.3	8.7	s	s	68.5	21.8	s	s
Fall of 2013 - Summer of 2014	24.9	5.9	31.5	8.6	26.5	11.9	s	s	-	-
Fall of 2012 - Summer of 2013	16.5	5.2	s	s	s	s	s	s	s	s
Prior to Fall of 2012	14.4	5.5	s	s	30.5	16.1	-	-	s	s
Usefulness of Report in 2014-2015 School Year										
Not at all	19.0	5.6	17.1	7.6	s	s	-	-	s	s
A little	14.5	7.2	17.0	8.3	s	s	s	s	-	-
Somewhat	18.5	5.8	s	s	35.3	13.0	63.6	24.3	-	-
Very	15.6	5.1	16.9	7.0	s	s	-	-	s	s
Extremely	32.4	6.8	44.7	8.8	s	s	s	s	s	s

Table 5.3b. Percent of Victims of Stalking Reporting to Others, Reporting to a Program, Timing of Report to Program, Usefulness of Report in Last School Year and Reasons Why Did Not Report to a Program by Gender and Enrollment Status^{1, 2, 3} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (n=6,284)				Male (n=3,965)			
			Undergraduate (n=4,611)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,673)		Undergraduate (n=2,951)		Graduate or Professional (n=1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Did not contact any programs										
Reason										
Did not know where to go or who to tell	26.0	2.3	28.9	2.6	30.6	8.0	9.9	3.9	38.8	13.3
Felt embarrassed, ashamed, or that it would be too emotionally difficult	17.0	1.9	17.5	2.2	20.6	5.7	17.5	5.3	s	s
I did not think anyone would believe me	12.9	1.7	10.2	1.6	15.9	5.8	14.2	5.0	20.9	11.1
I did not think it was serious enough to report	57.0	2.6	64.2	3.4	49.3	7.0	41.1	6.3	48.8	12.4
I did not want the person to get into trouble	12.4	1.8	9.3	2.1	21.7	5.9	16.3	5.6	16.9	9.0
I feared negative social consequences	18.5	1.9	16.7	2.2	18.9	5.1	21.7	5.8	22.5	9.4
I did not think anything would be done	32.3	2.2	35.3	2.7	32.3	5.8	25.6	6.2	17.6	8.5
I feared it would not be kept confidential	13.2	1.7	11.6	1.9	13.6	4.6	16.4	5.4	17.0	9.1
Incident was not on campus or associated with the school	22.5	2.0	21.3	2.3	37.4	7.0	14.7	4.9	38.5	11.7
Incident did not occur while attending school	3.9	1.4	4.1	1.7	s	s	s	s	s	s
Other	11.3	1.8	9.1	1.8	11.5	4.4	17.3	5.9	s	s

¹ Per 100 victims.

² Unless otherwise specified, estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.

³ Estimates reported for main campus only.

Table 5.4. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment, Intimate Partner Violence or Stalking by Type of Incident, Gender and Enrollment Status of Victim^{1, 2}

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (6,837)				Male (4,235)			
			Undergraduate (5,158)		Graduate or Professional (1,679)		Undergraduate (3,221)		Graduate or Professional (1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Harassment										
<i>Sexual orientation</i>										
Heterosexual	47.3	0.5	60.4	0.7	43.3	1.2	39.8	0.9	30.1	1.6
Non-Heterosexual	61.7	1.3	66.3	2.1	65.4	4.0	56.5	2.3	53.3	4.4
<i>Ethnicity</i>										
Hispanic	51.5	2.5	61.5	3.1	50.2	5.6	48.6	4.4	26.7	6.6
Not Hispanic	48.4	0.5	60.7	0.7	44.9	1.1	40.9	0.9	32.7	1.6
<i>Race</i>										
American Indian or Alaska Native	61.6	3.9	71.8	4.8	52.9	11.8	60.7	7.4	s	s
Asian	34.0	1.2	46.8	2.4	31.3	2.9	31.5	2.4	19.5	2.3
Black or African American	46.9	1.8	50.3	2.1	41.0	4.5	44.9	4.3	36.5	6.2
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	49.8	6.5	56.3	11.6	50.3	20.6	45.1	10.8	s	s
White	52.4	0.5	64.6	0.7	50.4	1.2	43.2	0.8	38.0	1.9
Intimate partner violence										
<i>Sexual orientation</i>										
Heterosexual	10.6	0.3	13.0	0.5	7.5	0.6	10.0	0.6	6.5	0.9
Non-Heterosexual	21.0	1.2	25.9	2.0	18.6	2.8	17.5	2.4	11.7	3.0
<i>Ethnicity</i>										
Hispanic	11.8	1.6	12.7	2.5	9.2	3.7	13.2	3.4	7.0	3.4
Not Hispanic	11.5	0.3	14.2	0.5	8.4	0.6	10.5	0.6	7.0	1.0
<i>Race</i>										
American Indian or Alaska Native	18.8	3.2	19.3	4.0	s	s	13.3	6.1	30.8	12.4
Asian	10.0	1.1	13.1	1.8	5.8	1.5	12.6	2.7	4.0	1.6
Black or African American	12.6	1.6	14.9	2.2	s	s	11.4	3.2	9.7	5.0
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	18.9	6.5	22.7	10.2	-	-	s	s	-	-
White	12.0	0.4	14.4	0.5	9.4	0.7	10.7	0.6	8.0	1.0

Table 5.4. Percent of Students Experiencing Harassment, Intimate Partner Violence or Stalking by Type of Incident, Gender and Enrollment Status of Victim^{1, 2} (continued)

Survey Item Response	Total		Female (6,837)				Male (4,235)			
			Undergraduate (5,158)		Graduate or Professional (1,679)		Undergraduate (3,221)		Graduate or Professional (1,014)	
	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr	%	StdErr
Stalking										
<i>Sexual orientation</i>										
Heterosexual	4.1	0.2	6.6	0.3	4.8	0.5	1.9	0.2	2.6	0.6
Non-Heterosexual	8.4	0.7	12.3	1.3	8.7	2.4	4.0	1.0	5.5	1.9
<i>Ethnicity</i>										
Hispanic	8.0	1.1	11.7	2.4	10.3	4.2	2.6	1.4	6.6	3.3
Not Hispanic	4.4	0.2	6.9	0.3	5.0	0.5	2.1	0.2	2.7	0.5
<i>Race</i>										
American Indian or Alaska Native	14.5	2.4	15.7	3.7	s	s	7.5	3.9	26.8	12.0
Asian	4.0	0.6	6.3	1.1	5.3	1.3	2.1	0.8	2.5	1.1
Black or African American	4.5	0.8	5.1	1.3	5.5	2.0	s	s	8.2	4.1
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	10.2	3.6	15.8	7.4	s	s	-	-	-	-
White	4.8	0.2	7.5	0.4	5.3	0.6	2.1	0.2	2.8	0.5

¹Per 100 students.

²Estimates are for victimizations reported since entering college.